REVIEW

Open Access



Importance of suberin biopolymer in plant function, contributions to soil organic carbon and in the production of bio-derived energy and materials

Anne E. Harman-Ware^{1*}, Samuel Sparks², Bennett Addison¹ and Udaya C. Kalluri^{2*}

Abstract

Suberin is a hydrophobic biopolymer of significance in the production of biomass-derived materials and in biogeochemical cycling in terrestrial ecosystems. Here, we describe suberin structure and biosynthesis, and its importance in biological (i.e., plant bark and roots), ecological (soil organic carbon) and economic (biomass conversion to bioproducts) contexts. Furthermore, we highlight the genomics and analytical approaches currently available and explore opportunities for future technologies to study suberin in quantitative and/or high-throughput platforms in bioenergy crops. A greater understanding of suberin structure and production in lignocellulosic biomass can be leveraged to improve representation in life cycle analysis and techno-economic analysis models and enable performance improvements in plant biosystems as well as informed crop system management to achieve economic and environmental co-benefits.

Keywords: Suberin, Biopolymer, Biomaterial, Biomass, Cork, Root, Soil, Carbon, Genomics, Bioenergy

Background

Suberin production and function in plants

The survival of terrestrial plants depends on their ability to control water loss and solute transport, insulate from climatic extremes and variations, protect against pathogenic attacks and to recover from mechanical damage. Suberin is a lipophilic bio-macromolecule that is integral for the ability of plants to withstand and recover from such stresses and challenges [1–7]. Suberin biosynthesis, regulation and associated plasticity under various conditions determine qualitative and quantitative properties of suberin and its influence on plant physiological and

¹ Renewable Resources and Enabling Sciences Center, Center for Bioenergy Innovation, National Renewable Energy Laboratory, Golden, structural properties in both above- and below-ground tissues. Suberin abundance varies according to plant and tissue types, developmental stage and plant's ability to respond to environmental changes. For example, suberin content of Quercus suber (cork) bark is about 30-50% of the dry weight mass [8, 9] whereas in skins of carrot, beets and potato, suberin content can range from 20-50% [10]. Holloway compared various lignocellulosic biomass types and found suberin content to range widely depending on biomass type from as low as 8% to as high as 60% extracted dry weight % of material [11]. Given that the biological purpose of suberin occurrence and production is to provide a protective barrier in plant cell walls, cell wall-derived suberin is among the persistent plant components found in soil [12-14]. Suberin derived from plant-detritus is therefore of interest as a biogeochemical biomarker to estimate the potential contributions from plants to soil organic matter (SOM) and



© The Author(s) 2021. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/

^{*}Correspondence: anne.ware@nrel.gov; kalluriudayc@ornl.gov

CO 80401, USA

² Biosciences Division and Center for Bioenergy Innovation, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN 37830, USA

recalcitrant carbon, *C*, with longer residence times in soil [15–18].

The production of suberin can change in response to drought and other abiotic stresses to prevent water loss and enhance water retention capacity within root systems [7]. Suberin can also impact plant mineral absorption and transport, and therefore, nutrition, by acting as a solute diffusion barrier. Generally, root suberization, increased or ectopic, has been linked to a decrease in the accumulation of Ca, Zn and Mn, and an increase of Na, S, K, Mo, As and Se [19-22]. Suberin has also been linked to aiding plant resistance to biotic stresses, such as microbial pathogen attack [6]. For example, the phenolic and aliphatic domains of suberin in potato periderm wound response were found to be important in disease resistance to specific bacterial and fungal pathogens, respectively [23]. The aliphatic component of root suberin also negatively correlated with soybean plant mortality to a fungal pathogen, *Phytophthora sojae* [24]. Suberin production is also impacted in response to environmental stresses [14, 25] and its accumulation has been linked to prevention of radial oxygen loss in root systems [26]. Changes in environmental conditions, specifically elevated carbon dioxide (CO_2) and temperature, have been reported to result in altered suberin chemistry of roots [15]. A recent elegant study provided evidence for reciprocal effects of root endodermal diffusion barrier (suberin) and microbiota, showing how root microbiota impact suberin deposition, and plant ionome; and that the functional role of suberin as diffusion barrier in turn determines microbiome composition [27]. Suberin production and deposition in roots have clear implications on plant physiology, growth, interactions with microbes and stress adaption; and have potential ecosystem level impacts in the contexts of root organic matter turnover, soil chemical composition, moisture, and other factors relevant to microbiome dynamics.

Suberized cells can be found in the stem periderm and the root periderm, exodermis and endodermis, and other specialized tissues such as seed coat, fruit and vegetable skin, and abscission zone [22, 28–30]. Suberin lamellae in root endodermis are typically deposited as secondary walls after the development of Casparian bands [31]. The major biopolymer component of lamellae is suberin with lignin occasionally reported as a minor component in monocots [32]. Suberin lamellae have been characterized as having alternating electron-lucent and electron-dense layers, which consist of a suberin polyaliphatic domain and a suberin polyphenolic domain [33].

Genetic understanding of suberin biosynthesis in stem and root and in other specialized tissues such as seed coats, and their functional roles in water movement regulation, defense and mineral accumulation properties has been greatly aided by *Arabidopsis* mutant characterization studies [7, 22, 28, 29]. While transport proteins constitute a well-known, central mechanism by which roots regulate uptake of materials (nutrients, solutes, etc.), there is also a level of regulation on material uptake in the non-specific apoplastic transport pathway between cells. Suberin-rich "barriers" are central to the regulation of apoplastic transport with root endodermal suberin inhibiting apoplastic movement of both water and solutes into the stele, and a similar role for exodermal suberin at root surface [22, 29, 31].

Altered levels of suberin content can result in significant impacts on plant health and productivity [22]. A detailed characterization of the *Arabidopsis enhanced suberin1(esb1)* mutant provided clear evidence not only for root suberin in water and solute transport, but also that higher root suberin content had ramifications on whole plant function including a reduction in water loss and wilting under drought-like conditions, and differential shoot ionome composition [22]. Given that quantitative differences in suberin levels also have effects on plant functions, such as variation in permeability of the apoplast to both water and solutes, changes in suberin quality and/or quantity can in turn impact growth and composition of plant shoot.

Considering drought, a die-back of cortical and epidermal tissues and increased suberization of endodermis protecting the stele from desiccation has been reported from *Lolium* plants [34]. In response to high salinity, root systems can reduce their growth rate while enhanced endodermal and exodermal suberization can occur closer to the root apex [31, 35]. Waterlogging typically results in soil oxygen depletion, changes in soil microbial activity, increased pathogenic microbe and toxic microbial bi-products in the soil media (harmful organic acids, lowered redox potentials or phytotoxic compounds) [6, 36, 37]. As another adaptive advantage in waterlogged conditions, the suberized apoplastic barrier minimizes radial oxygen loss, enhancing the diffusion of oxygen towards the root apex and impeding penetration of toxins or pathogens into the roots [31, 38, 39].

Toxins, nutrient status and CO_2 levels in the environment can influence tissue development as well as cell wall chemistry, including suberization [15, 31]. For example, corn seedlings grown under magnesium (Mg)-deficient conditions were found to be more suberized in the endoand hypodermis/exodermis relative to control conditions [40]. Suberin as the hydrophobic component of the apoplastic barrier plays a critical role in plant damage under abiotic (e.g., waterlogging) and biotic (e.g., pathogen attack) stresses [41]. The extent to which timing, location and abundance of suberin deposition and suberin composition contributes to the formation and properties of the apoplastic barrier, across various plant types, is an ongoing area of research.

Suberin structural and compositional analyses

Suberin is a nonlinear, irregular, poly(acylglycerol) macromolecule built from poly-functional long chain fatty acids, fatty alcohols and glycerol which are covalently linked to phenolic moieties. A general structure of suberin was proposed by Kolattukudy in which a crosslinked aromatic subdomain is covalently linked to longchain diacids and hydroxyacids through ester bonds [41, 42]. ω -hydroxyacids and α , ω -diacids are typically the most abundant long chain lipids found in suberin [1, 8]. It was later considered that in addition to phenolics and long-chain fatty acids, glycerol is an additional suberin monomeric unit [43-45]. In strong support of the hypothesis that glycerol units act to cross-link a ferulate-rich polyaromatic domains with long-chain hydroxyacids, Correia et al. identified monoacylglycerol, diacylglycerol and triacylglycerol units and further elucidated the specific lipid and phenolic moieties within native and near-native isolated cork suber using a suite of solution-state nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy (NMR) data in conjunction with microscopic methods and mass spectrometry [46].

Suberin (primary association with cork) and cutin (primary association with cuticle) are both complex macromolecules that serve as protective barriers in plants. While suberin is a biopolymer consisting of both aromatic and aliphatic domains, cutin is a polyester consisting primarily of omega hydroxy acids (C16 and C18 families) and has lower abundance of longer chain fatty acids (C20–C30) than suberin [42]. In contrast to polyaromatic lignin, suberin is characterized by the presence of higher levels of hydroxycinnamic acids and derivatives (e.g., ferulates).

Due in part to its heterogeneous, irregular and diverse nature, unaltered suberin isolation from plant tissues and characterization remains an analytical challenge in research applications. Additionally, the nature and properties of suberin and derived moieties make detailed characterization tedious and laborious, often requiring many steps, chromatographic separation and sophisticated detection technology, rendering high-throughput and accurate analyses difficult to achieve. Typically, acid or base-catalyzed transesterification or methanolysis are used to remove or isolate and determine suberin content and its lipid, phenolic and glycerol components in biomass but many of these methods may induce changes on the structure and may not accurately reflect relative composition of specific constituents and moieties [8-10]. The structure and composition of altered and native suberin and its components in biomass tissues have been studied using a variety of microscopy techniques, mass spectrometry (MS), NMR and other spectroscopic characterization methods. Table 1 summarizes several methodological approaches used to characterize suberin content in biomass as well as its structure and monomeric constituents.

A working hypothesis of suberin superstructure and high-level domain architecture as it exists in plant cells arises from a number of dominant spectroscopic and microscopic observations. First, transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images of suberized plant cell walls reveal a poly-lamellar structure with repeating dark and light bands, with 30–60 repeating layers found in cork [47]. Isolated suberin precipitated in water subsequently analyzed by TEM and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) exhibited elongated polygon structures with preserved glycerol backbones and overall lengths of 100-175 μ m [46]. Also, staining methods have been used to indicate the presence of both aliphatic and aromatic components and domains in suberin [41, 42]. Lulai and Morgan described potato tuber wound suberin as being deposited in separate hydrophobic/lipid and phenolic/ lignin processes based on microscopy analysis in conjunction with neutral red and berberine cytochemical probes [48]. The authors noted that the neutral red was specific for the hydrophobic/lipid domain of suberin and, based on results used in conjunction with berberine, they suggested the deposition of lipid and phenolic domains in suberin occured in separate processes [48]. Lux et al. described a clearing and staining methodology using free-hand sections and whole-mount samples for observation of suberin in endodermal root cells of Arabidopsis [49]. The authors describe the optimization of the staining procedures for various tissues in different plant types and describe suberin observations based on berberine and fluorol yellow for lamellar suberin specificity and also include post-staining procedures using aniline blue, toluidine blue O as well as safranin O to better visualize exodermal, epidermal and endodermal cells [49]. Classic histological staining methods, particularly including dyes Nile red (specific for suberin) and auramine O (binds various substrates including suberin, cutin and lignin), have also been used with "ClearSee" clearing protocols to analyze suberin in Arabidopsis roots using confocal microscopy [50]. Cohen et al. used histochemistry, fluorescent protein tags and confocal laser scanning microscopy to demonstrate SUBERMAN transcription factor regulation in endodermal cells with varying degrees of suberization as well as TEM to show suberin lamellae in epidermis, cortex and endodermis in Arabidopsis roots [51]. AtMYB107 transcription factor was also shown to regulate suberin biosynthesis in Arabidopsis seed coats, but not root suberin biosynthesis or cutin biosynthesis,

Technique	Methods/experiments/types/parameters	Results or findings	References
Wet Chemistry	Acid/base-catalyzed transesterification/metha- nolysis	Total suberin content, depolymerized components	[8–10, 53]
Microscopy	TEM	Lamellar structure of cell wall	[47]
	SEM	Isolated suberin macromolecular structure	[46]
	Confocal, fluorescence, histological staining	Suberin presence and relative abundance in cell walls and tissues	[48–52]
NMR	Solution-state NMR, solid-state NMR	Aliphatics, phenolics and glycerol are components of suberin	[43, 45, 69, 73, 166–168]
	Solution-state NMR, solid-state NMR	Polyaromatic and phenolic composition, hydroxy- cinnamates including ferulic acid, lignin-like subunits	[75, 102, 169–173]
	Solid-state NMR relaxation and dynamics studies	Two distinct methylene CH_2 environments identi- fied with differing dynamics, aliphatic acylglyc- erols with mid-chain modified hydrocarbons found in more rigid core, saturated alkanols and alkanoic acids are more dynamic and spatially distinct	[69–73, 79, 174]
	Solution-state NMR, gel-state NMR	Primary structure: how monomeric units are linked to polymeric / oligomeric units	[46, 74, 160]
	Solid-state NMR	Overall superstructure and domain architecture	[70, 72, 79, 160]
Mass Spectrometry	GC/MS of depolymerized components	Phenolics, lipids, glycerol components as mono- mers	[9, 53, 57]
	LC/MS of depolymerized components	Phenolics, lipids, glycerol components as mono- mers and oligomers	[54]
	Pyrolysis-mass spectrometry of biomass	Fingerprinting for phenolics and lipid compo- nents, screening for thermochemical conversion paradigms	[59, 150]
	Pyrolysis-mass spectrometry of soils	Fingerprinting for phenolics and lipid components, biomarker for plant species in soils	[60–68]
	MALDI-MS	Suberin structure in tissue and separate hydro- lysate analysis for phenolics, lipids	[56]

Table 1 Summary of analytical methodologies used to analyze suberin structure and composition

based on supporting data from histochemical staining (Sudan black B for lipids including waxes hydrophobic domains in suberin as well as toluidine blue for analysis of leaf cuticles) in conjunction with confocal microscopy, SEM and TEM [52].

Specific suberin monomers or constituents, principally fatty (saturated, unsaturated and substituted) acids, fatty (or aliphatic) alcohols, mono- and di- ω hydroxyacids, α , ω -diacids, epoxy-substituted lipids, phenolics and glycerol have been characterized by various mass spectrometry techniques, particularly on depolymerized or otherwise isolated or extracted suberin material (Tables 1 and 2). A summary of suberin monomeric components and structures is provided in [1]. Extensive tables of MS data, particularly from GC/MS, specifying and often quantifying specific suberin constituents can be found in the literature (for example Corriera et al. [46], Holloway [11]). Here, we have provided a brief outline in Table 2 of broad categories of various suberin moieties and several types of biomass and tissues where their occurrence has been

identified with corresponding literature and methods used to identify the species. Generally, suberin lipids (fatty acids, alcohols and diacids with various functional groups such as additional hydroxyl or epoxy groups) have been identified in fruit cuticles, tree barks, and in roots and leaves of many plant types. The abundance and distribution of suberin-derived lipids of chain lengths C7–C32 varies in abundance depending on plant type and tissues where the most abundant species are typically C16–C26 chain lengths. Phenolicderived species typically consist of ferulic and benzoic acids which also vary depending on plant and tissue type. Glycerol recovered from suberin analysis also varies in abundance but is typically a large portion (on the order of 20 mass % suberin) of the suberin content [53].

GC/MS analyses require that the suberin be depolymerized and monomeric constituents are derivatized prior to analysis. Additionally, liquid chromatography with mass spectrometry (LC/MS) may be used to identify and quantify suberin-derived products. Thiombiano et al. developed a workflow to characterize flax

	C	c			c ı.				1.1.1		C
India 7	Summary.	ot mo	IOR CLIDORID	moiotioc	tound in	norticulor	olont c	nocioc al	nd ticculoc	and accorded	rotoropcoc
	NULLIAIV	()		III())PIPS				Decies al			
	Jannary	011110	joi sabcini	inoic ties		purcicular		pecies u	10 055005	and associated	rererectives
								1			

Plant species	Tissues	Suberin moieties	Method, references
Tomato, nectarine, apple	Cuticle	C16–C22 fatty acids, α,ω-diacids, ω-hydroxy acids, aliphatic alcohols, epoxy-substituted acids	MALDI-MS [56]
Potato	Peel Wastes	C12–C30 fatty acids, α, ω -diacids, ω -hydroxy acids, aliphatic alcohols, hydroxycinnamic acids	Py-GC/MS [150]
Quercus suber	Cork (bark)	C22–C28 fatty alcohols, C14–C26 fatty acids, C16–C26, ω -mono and di-hydroxy acids, C7–C26 a,ω -diacids, epoxy acids, phenolics (primarily ferulic, benzoic, coumaric and vanillic acids), glycerol, tri- and di-glyceride structures	GC/MS, NMR [9, 46, 53, 59]
Birch	Bark	C16–C22 hydroxylated fatty acids, a,ω -diacids, ferulic acid	GC/MS, NMR [143]
Quercus robur, Q. ilex, Q. suber, Fagus sylvatica, Castanea sarica, Betula pendula, Acer griseum, Fraxinus excelsior, Acer pseudoplatanus, Ribes nigrum, Euonymus alatus, Populus tremula, Solanum tuberosum, Sambucus nigra, Laburnum anagyroides, Cupressus leylandii	Cork, bark	Aliphatic alcohols, C16–C32 fatty acids, ω-mono and di-hydroxy acids (C16–C26), <i>a</i> ,ω-diacids, epoxy-substituted acids (C18)	GC/MS [11]
Root vegetables (beet, parsnip, carrot, sweet potato, rutabaga, turnip)	Skin	C14–C32 fatty acids, C15–C24 α,ω-diacids, C16–C28 ω-hydroxy acids, C18–C30 aliphatic alcohols	GC/MS [10]
Soil		α,ω-acids, ω-hydroxy acids, C16–C34 fatty acids, aliphatic alcohols, coumaric and ferulic acids	Py-GC/MS [12, 64], Py- FIMS [60], GC/MS [65]
Sycamore, spruce, cork	Bark	C14–C26 fatty acids, α , ω -diacids, hydroxy acids, aliphatic alcohols, ferulic acid, benzoic acid	GC/MS [138]

seed coat hydrolysates, including suberin and cutinderived species as well as lignans, using LC/MS and GC/MS [54]. Their methodology accounted for the production and analysis of partial hydrolysates to analyze oligomers in an attempt to sequence the macromolecular network of the various biopolymers.

Other techniques such as matrix assisted laser desorption ionization mass spectrometry (MALDI-MS) are important for analyzing biopolymers such as suberin given their ability to probe structural information. For example, MALDI-MS was used to analyze fruit cuticles to image the surface heterogeneity and to understand the structural features associated with cutin and suberin in tissues [55, 56]. The authors used in situ hydrolysis of the suberin and cutin to obtain spectral characteristics of the isolated biopolymer hydrolysates and the de-suberized tissues.

While GC/MS, LC/MS and MALDI-MS are powerful and important techniques used to analyze biopolymers such as suberin, they often require laborious sample preparation and chemical depolymerization steps that are not easily adaptable to high-throughput analyses that may be needed for population-scale and multiomic studies. Mass spectrometry techniques such as pyrolysis-mass spectrometry (py-MS) offer the advantage of potential minimal sample preparation, although these techniques often require time intensive chromatographic separation for speciation and quantitative, unbiased characterization. Additionally, py-MS techniques may not necessarily provide structural insights but could potentially be implemented in a high-throughput platform. Py-MS techniques use a pyrolysis step (thermal decomposition in the absence of oxygen) to produce vapors from materials prior to chromatography and/or MS analysis. Margues et al. demonstrated how py-GC/ MS can be used to analyze suberin in biomass and the complications that can arise related to the presence of lignin and the analytical conditions and parameters used [57]. Py-GC/MS has been used to analyze potato peels and their fermented wastes to simultaneously characterize the materials and inform thermochemical process utilization potential based on the products generated [58]. Pyrolysis with a methylating agent followed by GC/ MS analysis was used to study Quercus suber cork and its isolated suberin as well as lignin fractions in conjunction with NMR experiments [59]. The authors suggest that ferulates may act as a cross-linking unit between lignin and suberin carbohydrates in cork cell walls.

Py-MS techniques such as pyrolysis field ionization mass spectrometry (Py-FIMS) are particularly useful for analyzing soils and have been used to characterize soils based on the suberin species detected in the analyses [12, 13, 60–63]. As with biomass, soils may also be treated with methylating agents prior to py-MS to aid in the production of volatile vapors. Nierop reported the py-GC/MS analysis of soils with thermally assisted hydrolysis and methylation to characterize suberin and cutin as biomarkers in soils [64]. Estournel-Pelardy et al. used a

two-step derivatization method to selectively analyze specific biomolecules including suberin-derived species present in peat [65].

Pyrolysis metastable atom bombardment time-of-flight mass spectrometry (Py-MAB-TOF-MS) is a fingerprinting method that has been used to analyze lipids in soils that originate from a variety of sources in an effort to expand the profile range of species and hence variability detected amongst different soils [66]. Pyrolysis-molecular beam mass spectrometry (py-MBMS) has also been used similarly as a fingerprint method to analyze lipid components in soils that could potentially be adapted specifically to suberin analysis as well [67, 68].

Liquid and solid-state NMR techniques have also been used to probe suberin architecture as well as compositional and structural information related to the specific constituents that comprise suberin biopolymers. Early solid-state NMR measurements first from potato skins, and later on cork suberin, revealed two distinct methylene CH_2 environments within the aliphatic moieties at different chemical shifts with different dynamics properties [69–71]. It was proposed that the more motionally hindered methylene carbons are dense in -CH2-Ogroups and might be physically closer to ester linkages [71]. As a clear demonstration of this observation that two distinct methylene CH₂ domains exist, Yan and Stark used two-dimensional ¹H-¹³C Wide-Line SEparation (WISE) NMR to study dynamics and domain architecture within wounded potato tissue [72]. The WISE experiment correlates the ¹³C chemical shift in the direct dimension with the ¹H profile in the indirect dimension, thus providing insight into the rigidity as measured by the shape of the ¹H profile. The majority of water-hydrated suberin remains rigid, but about 20% of its CH₂ groups are quite dynamic with molecular motion in the 50 kHz frequency range [72].

Lopes et al. characterized extracts from cork suberin obtained from sequentially harsher alkaline methanolysis using GC/MS, ¹H solution-state and ¹³C solid-state NMR techniques [73]. Together, results showed that suberin hydrocarbon chains that are most easily extracted (mild methanolysis conditions) are dominated by saturated 1-alkyanols, alkanoic acids, and α, ω -alkanedioic acids, whereas aliphatic components that require harsher methanolysis conditions are richer in mid-chain modified ω -hydroxyalkanoic acids. The findings also help explain the observation of two distinct methylene CH₂ domains; it was proposed that a more rigid, partially ordered and repeating aliphatic acylglycerol region with various midchain modifications comprises a dominant central aliphatic structure, while hydrocarbon chains protrude into less ordered regions including the ferulate-rich polyaromatic region. Mild methanolysis conditions show that

Page 6 of 21

mostly ω -hydroxyacids and ferulates are released, but when harsher conditions are applied, mid-chain modified fatty acids are identified. Later, the stereochemistry of mid-chain modified hydroxyacids (9,10 epoxy and 9,10 diol groups) were identified using solution-state NMR [74].

Key solid-state NMR measurements have helped elucidate the spatial distributions of suberin subdomains. When suberized potato tissues are extensively solventextracted and enzymatically digested to remove unbound sugars and waxes, solid-state NMR data shows clear evidence of recalcitrant structural sugars, which are suggested to be bound to suberin [70, 75-77]. Arrieta-Baez and Stark show that these suberin-bound cell wall polysaccharides, which were consistent with cellulose-like and xylopyranose-like sugars, can be further removed under mild trifluoroacetic acid conditions [78]. Moreover, two separate WISE NMR spin-diffusion studies both suggest close spatial proximity of aliphatic carbons with both polysaccharide moieties and phenolic groups [72, 79]. These through-space findings were supported by high-resolution magic-angle spinning (HR-MAS) data of DMSO-swollen materials consisting of suberin and suberin-related species; 1D and 2D ¹H and ¹³C HR-MAS data provide evidence of covalent linkages between polymers.

Like other biopolymers, improvements in analytical technologies for suberin are important for biomass optimization efforts both for its impacts on plant and ecosystem health but also in regard to its impacts on biomass designed for applications in renewable energy and chemicals.

Suberin in biomass: a consideration in conversion to bio-products

The presence and structure of suberin in biomass clearly impacts plant growth, composition and survival and potentially has ecological ramifications on soil composition and health, including soil microbial composition. Additionally, suberin has implications on biomass conversion platforms related to its role in impacting the yield of desired products either due to the direct role of suberin abundance and structure on lignocellulosic feedstock conversion efficiency and/or in its indirect role impacting the productivity and composition of biomass used for biochemical or bioenergy production. As lignin composition in belowground biomass may have a relationship with total biomass yield of aboveground tissue that is used in conversion processes, and may also impact the ability of a feedstock to sequester C in soil [80]; suberin deserves dedicated focus for similar impacts on aboveground biomass production and C sequestration, particularly as it may otherwise be included as part of the lignin fraction during biomass characterization. Additionally, the presence of suberin in biomass such as waste food and agricultural residues may impact the yield and production of renewable chemicals and energy, but studies on this hypothesis are lacking. Lastly, suberin itself may be valorized as a resource for renewable, bio-derived energy and chemicals [1, 81-84].

Due to its important role in the health and sustainability of plants, crop systems, and ecosystems as well as its own valorization potential and impact on conversion processes, it is imperative that suberin production in plants is considered in designing and cultivating crops intended for biochemical, bioproduct and bioenergy production (Fig. 1). Here we review the state of science and technology associated with suberin production and characterization in plants, its potential role in soil C inputs and impacts on biomass conversion processes. To cover the breadth of the subtopics within the complex subject of suberin chemistry and biology, we have attempted to highlight primary research works and comprehensive reviews (avoiding in- depth discussion of biosynthesis pathways of waxes, lignin and suberin, for which excellent literature have been published). We also aim to capture exemplary research approaches and statuses of insights. Furthermore, we broadly synthesize the current state of knowledge and provide perspectives on the importance of suberin and the merits of advanced biomass optimization efforts towards plant function, economic and environmental benefits.

State of science and technology

Genomics and genetic studies of suberin biosynthesis

Studies employing plant genetic variants or mutants and characterization of associated suberin pathway or phenotype modification(s) have been foundational for understanding and validating the physiological importance of suberin in plants [7]. Another complementary approach to identifying candidate genes underlying suberin biosynthesis and deposition has been via contrasting gene expression and metabolism in plants under ambient vs. treated/modified growth conditions to identify extended molecular networks of adaptation [85, 86]. Plant variants, mutants, enzyme biochemistry and environmentally controlled/perturbed studies have been integral to understanding of genes, genetic networks and biosynthetic pathways associated with suberin composition, biosynthesis, spatiotemporal regulation, deposition, and functional significance of suberin. There are several biosynthetic pathways involved in production of the monomers of suberin, a complex heteropolymer. These involve hydroxylation of fatty acids, oxidation to dicarboxylic acids, fatty acid





elongation, reduction or extension of fatty acyl chains to primary fatty alcohols, glycerol acylations, incorporation of phenolics, amongst other processes used to produce suberin in tissues [87]. Table 3 summarizes select genes that have been identified in playing a role in suberin production and composition in plants.

Using a transcriptomics analysis approach, rootexpressing genes belonging to the cytochrome P450 fatty acid *w*-hydroxylase CYP86 and CYP94 subfamily were proposed to be involved in catalyzing fatty acid ω -hydroxylation. Experimental validation studies showed that CYP86A1/HORST is expressed particularly within suberized tissues of roots and further alterations in suberin observed in compositional analysis of the mutant demonstrated the gene's involvement in suberin biosynthesis [88]. An observed 60% reduction in total root suberin was attributed to a reduction in carbon chains C16 and C18 oxygenated fatty acids in suberin from the CYP86A1/(horst) gene mutant, providing evidence of genetic control on root suberin levels [88, 89]. CYP86B1 gene is characterized as having a similar expression pattern in the root endodermis, and the corresponding *ralph* mutant shows a monomer specific alteration of very long chain ω -hydroxy acids, diacids, although total suberin content was not significantly affected [90]. Based on this compositional insight, it has been proposed that CYP86B1/RALPH encodes a very long chain fatty acid (VLCFA) ω -hydroxylase in plants [90]. In a separate study, the periderm from tubers of Solanum tuberosum down-regulated in CYP86A33 gene expression was found to be more fragile compared to control plant, and the RNAi-downregulated lines were also reported to have a reduction in weight, by 50% [91]. Plants down-regulated in CYP86A33 gene had alterations in suberin ultrastructure showing a significant reduction in the thickness of suberin, the secondary wall of the periderm, and a significant decrease in ω -functionalized monomers in aliphatic suberin which correlated with disappearance of the characteristic alternating dark and light lamellae [91].

Fatty acid elongation involves β -ketoacyl-CoA synthases (KCSs) [92–96]. There are three C2 extending fatty acid elongation cycles needed for the C24 backbone (acting as the longest carbon backbone chain) of *Arabidopsis* suberin monomers. Seven *KCS* genes have been described as having a prolific and specific expression in various tissues/organs including roots [92]. In *Arabidopsis*, at least five *KCS* family genes have been associated with elongation of very long chain monomers in root suberin (C22) [7]. The mild to moderate phenotypic effects observed in mutants corresponding to *KCS2/ DAISY* and *KCS20* genes allude to potential redundancy within the 21-membered KCS family of *Arabidopsis*, a likely involvement with other bioprocesses requiring Page 8 of 21

very-long-chain fatty acids and in turn, an impact on the fatty acid pool for biosynthesis of the suberin monomer [97, 98].

Knowledge of these empirically validated suberin biosynthesis pathway genes has allowed for new validated connections/candidate genes identified via network analysis, such as the fatty acyl reductases (FAR); FAR1, FAR4, and FAR5, and feruloyl transferases (ALIPHATIC SUBERIN FERULOYL TRANSFERASE (ASFT) and RWP1/HHT (ω-hydroxyacid:hydroxycinnamoyltransfe rase) belonging to the BAHD family of acyltransferases) [7, 99-101]. Arabidopsis knockout lines of FATTY ALCOHOL:CAFFEOYL-CoA CAFFEOYL TRANS-FERASE (FACT), an acyltransferase closely related to ASFT, was reported to be dramatically reduced in alkyl caffeate content while alkyl coumarate content was unaffected in suberized tissues [4]. A salt-stress response role for FACT was also proposed. Furthermore, this study by Kosma et al. [4] to biochemically characterize FACT as well as FAR1, FAR4 and FAR5 enzymes suggested that distinct acyltransferases may have distinct affinities for coumarate, caffeate or ferulate group of alkyl hydroxycinnamates. Also, enzymes such as those integrating the fatty acid elongation (FAE) complex and the FAR pathway have been shown to be important for suberin biosynthesis [86, 99, 100].

Presence of a high degree of hydroxycinnamic acids and their derivatives such as feruloyltyramine distinguishes polyaromatic components of suberin from lignin. A complex network of feruloyl transferase and conjugation enzymes catalyze phenylpropanoid pathway-derived ferulate (p-hydroxycinnamic acid) [102] and tyrosinederived tyramine and their integration. Downregulation of a feruloyl transferase (FHT) gene via RNAi in potato periderm caused a reduction in ferulate esters, impacted developmental and functional water permeability properties, however, lamellar structure was apparently unaffected [103]. Ectopic expression of Populus PtFHT1 gene in Arabidopsis resulted in higher root ferulate levels but not *p*-coumarate [104]. Distinct from previously mentioned significance of BAHD family of acyltransferases established via genetic studies, tyramine N-hydroxycinnamoyltransferases (THT; hydroxycinnamoyl-CoA:tyramine N-hydroxycinnamoyltransferase) have also been cloned and biochemically validated from multiple species such as tobacco [105], potato [106, 107] and Capsicum annuum (heterologous expression in rice) [108] to be important in synthesis of feruloyltyramine, a key component of suberin, and a concomitant differential regulation in response to wounding in potato [109]. Mutant studies in Arabidopsis have led to identification of an enhanced suberin mutant, esb1, opening up the potential and promise of the approach in discovering

Table 3 Summary of select genes shown to impact suberin production and structure in plants

Gene symbol	Plant type	Effect on suberin/plant phenotype	Reference
AtMYB107, AtMYB9	Arabidopsis thaliana	Regulates suberin synthesis in seed coats	[116]
ANAC046	Arabidopsis thaliana	Regulates suberin accumulation in roots	[175]
AtMYB41	Arabidopsis thaliana	Regulates for aliphatic suberin synthesis and impacts lamellae structure	[118]
DAISY/KCS2, KCS20)	Arabidopsis thaliana	Biosynthesis of cuticular wax and root suberin. Potentially redundant functions of genes	[97, 98]
KCS1	Arabidopsis thaliana	Synthesis of very-long-chain fatty acid (VLCFA) products in multiple wax biosynthetic pathways	[93]
CYP86A1	Arabidopsis thaliana	Aliphatic root suberin biosynthetic enzyme	[88]
СҮР86В1	Arabidopsis thaliana	Suberin aliphatic monomer (very-long-chain saturated α,ω- bifunctional) biosynthetic enzyme	[90]
FAR1, FAR4, FAR5	Arabidopsis thaliana	Impacts root and seed coat suberin composition (C18:0-OH in <i>far5-1</i> , C20:0-OH in <i>far4-1</i> , and C22:0-OH in <i>far1-1</i> , mutants)	[99]
GPAT5	Arabidopsis thaliana	Impacts aliphatic suberin quantity in roots and very long chain dicarboxylic acid and ω -hydroxy fatty in seed coats	[120]
ABCG2, ABCG6, and ABCG20	Arabidopsis thaliana	Impacts effective suberin synthesis/production in roots, seed coats and pollen wall	[176]
StNAC103	Potato and Arabidopsis	Regulates suberin and wax deposition and formation of tuber apoplastic barriers	[177]
QsMYB1	Quercus suber	Regulates several biosynthesis and transport genes in suberin and lignin pathways	[178]
MdMYB93	Apple	When heterologously expressed in tobacco leaves, regulates accumulation of suberin as well as precursors of suberin and lignin	[117]
СҮР86А33	Potato	Enzymatic functionalization of suberin aliphatic compounds at ω -terminal C end in periderm	[91]
DSO/ABCG11	Arabidopsis thaliana	Impacts suberin composition in roots and cutin biosynthesis aboveground	[179]
AchnABF2, AchnMYB4, AchnMYB41, AchnMYB107	<i>Actinidia chinensis</i> (kiwifruit)	Regulates suberin biosynthesis genes and suberin monomer accumulation	[180]
SUBERMAN (SUB)	Arabidopsis thaliana	Regulates suberin pathway genes and lamellae formation	[51]
LTPG15	Arabidopsis thaliana	Transport protein involved in very-long-chain fatty acids trans- port for suberin production	[181]
ASFT (BAHD family)	Arabidopsis thaliana	Feruloyl transferase impacts suberin-associated ferulate abun- dance	[100]
RWP1 (HHT/BAHD family)	Arabidopsis	Reduction of ω-hydroxyacid:hydroxycinnamoyltransferase level/ activity reduced ferulate content of suberin. Impacts composi- tion (ferulate) of suberin in root, stem, and seed	[101]
FACT	Arabidopsis	Impacts alkyl caffeate levels in suberized tissues	[4]
FHT	Potato	Impacts ferulate esters levels, altered developmental and water permeability properties	[103]
PtFHT1	Populus	Heterologous expression in <i>Arabidopsis</i> results in higher root ferulate levels but not p-coumarate	[104]
THT (tyramine N-hydroxycinnamoyltransferase)	Tobacco, Potato, Pepper	Enzymatic synthesis of feruloyltyramine	[105–108]
ABCG1	Arabidopsis and Potato	Impacts suberin barrier formation in roots and tuber periderm (potato) and accumulation of suberin precursors	[112, 113]
At2g28670/ (esb1 mutant)	Arabidopsis thaliana	Increased suberin levels in roots of loss-of-function mutant	[22]
AtMYB92	Arabidopsis	Regulates fatty acid and suberin biosynthetic genes and produc- tion of suberin monomers in tobacco leaf assays	[182]
SGN3	Arabidopsis	Receptor-like kinase with role in membrane microdomain forma- tion in endodermis impacts Casparian strip formation and lacks parallel enhancement in suberin deposition as a compensation mechanism	[183]
ShMYB78	Sugarcane	Shown as activator of suberin production in heterologous assay	[119]

additional suberin pathway genes from among the endodermis specific genes [22, 110]. The corresponding ESB1 gene locus, At2g28670, is expressed within the endodermis [22]. The *esb1* mutant roots had a two-fold increase in suberin aliphatic content and a disordered Casparian strip relative to control roots [19, 22]. The characterization of esb1 has led to improvements in understanding the relationship between suberin content and root permeability, providing the first genetic evidence of suberin's role in both ion translocation to shoots and water balance [7, 22]. Future analyses of modified transgenic lines expressing ESB1 gene, driven by tissue specific or designer promoters and use of advanced analytical technologies can deepen the understanding of the role of suberization and formation of Casparian strip barriers in plant nutrient acquisition, growth and biomass quality and productivity, as well as advance knowledge on the genetic underpinnings.

Membrane proteins of the ABC family (ABCG2, ABC611, ABCG15, ABCG16, and ABCG32) are shown or suggested to aid in the transport of suberin monomers through the plasma membrane [86, 111]. Suberin composition is impacted in Arabidopsis atabcg1 mutants (mutations in ABC transporter family gene, ABCG1) with a particular reduction in fatty alcohols and acids and long chain dicarboxylic acids [112]. A similar report of linking ABCG1 to suberin was obtained from potato tuber periderm studies [113]. Abscisic acid (ABA) can aid in suberin deposition in response to plant tissue wounding and abiotic stressors, or by application of the phytohormone [114]. Potato NAC protein StNAC103, a putative ortholog of Arabidopsis ANAC058, has been shown to impact suberin deposition, though the target/direct downstream genes in this process have yet to be uncovered [115].

A number of MYB family genes have been shown to act on or regulate suberin. Roles of AtMYB107 and AtMYB9 in suberin biosynthesis pathway are well documented [116], as well as role of MdMYB93 in suberization in apple fruit skins [117]. MYB41 has been shown to regulate suberin accumulation when overexpressed in leaves, and is upregulated in root endodermis under abiotic stressors [118]. Conservation of expression context of orthologous MYB93 genes from rice, tomato, apple, potato and grape suggests potential cross-species conservation of functional roles in suberin synthesis [116]. A MYB family member from sugarcane, ShMYB78, was recently shown to be an activator of suberin production [119]. Tobacco leaf transient expression studies using ShMYB78 showed ectopic deposition of suberin and upregulation of suberin biosynthesis genes.

SUBERMAN (SUB) transcription factor has been shown to increase the root suberin lamellae formation. In

Arabidopsis, SUB has a regulatory role by transactivating promoters of suberin biosynthesis genes [51]. Beyond the effect of SUB transcription factor in regulating suberin biosynthesis genes, it can also effect expression and localization of suberin transporters, and in turn impact root physiology, nutrient/water uptake capacity and structural stability [51].

Studying suberin and wax composition through four developmental stages of hybrid Populus stem periderm led to the discovery of several candidate suberin pathway genes [86]. Chemical components of poplar bark periderm, viz., suberin, lignin, and other surface waxes were characterized at four developmental stages [86]. Microscopy of bark tissue layers, stages 1 through 4, was used to correlate structural/anatomical changes, i.e., increased number of suberized cell layers, with suberin chemistry and cork maturity. Chemical analyses showed an increase in suberin monomer load with bark age [86]. Some genes, including CYP86A7, were exclusively expressed in developed stage 3 of cork. Transcriptome analyses showed that this stage corresponds to highest number of genes responding to FAE, wax biosynthesis and lipid polyester biosynthesis [86]. The study suggested that poplar homologs of cutin pathway enzymes can potentially catalyze oxidation of suberin aliphatics within tree bark [86]. In addition to the poplar homolog to the known GPAT5 enzyme, homologs to GPAT 6, 7, and 8 genes, which encode cutin-specific acyltranferases in Arabidopsis were also upregulated in Populus bark transcriptomes, possibly playing functional roles within the *Populus* suberin biosynthesis pathways [86, 120]. Expression of putative Populus homologs of Arabidopsis SHINE1 (SHN1)/WAX INDUCER1 (WIN1) [121], regulator of cutin and other aliphatic waxes biosynthesis, in older bark development stage tissues, suggests a potential role in Populus periderm suberization [86].

Genomics and genetic studies have shown that modification of genes involved in suberin biosynthetic pathways can significantly alter suberin composition and structure and have implications on plant growth and stress adaptability. Further expansion of the fundamental suberin biosynthesis knowledge base and integration with validations in bioenergy crop species under applied economic and environmental contexts will be useful towards sustainable bioenergy crop improvements efforts.

Understanding the relationships among plant suberin chemistry, soil C and the environment

Various studies support suberin's potential implications on carbon (C) input/storage/persistence belowground and on soil organic matter and soil properties such as aggregation which may in turn relate to biomass decomposition and microbial interactions below ground [12, 16–18, 60, 64]. Increasing root biomass particularly with more fine roots and deeper roots is considered a complementary approach to increasing plant's ability to capture, convert and allocate more C from the atmosphere to belowground [15, 122, 123]. Additionally, soil in heavily tilled farmlands are depleted in C reserves. Having the ability to return C inputs to soil has the benefits of improved soil health and plant productivity in addition to the C sequestration in soil for longer decadal time frames.

Fine root turnover as well as root exudates contribute to large inputs of organic C into soil, which supports soil health and microbial diversity, and in turn plant growth and biomass productivity, in a feedback loop [15, 124]. Roots can have variable ratios of relatively labile (sugars and carbohydrates) to relatively degradation resistant (complex polymers such as suberin and lignin) C forms and therefore, root chemistry and depth along with soil type and management are major factors in determining physical aggregate structure and microbial interactions, and ultimately the decades-long residence time of C or sequestration in soils. For example, fine roots can change their specific root area, length, diameter, density and chemistry, in order to improve resource uptake, and in response to external environmental changes such as elevated water availability and elevated CO_2 [125–127]. A study in grasses showed that elevated CO₂ levels and temperature can result in increases in suberin content by 28%, and by 36%, respectively [15]. These results can be attributed to the above- and below-ground morphological and physiological changes that have been reported from warming and elevated CO₂ studies [15, 126]. Elevated CO₂ coupled with environmental warming can result in greater specific root length and specific root area, and potentially increase the content of suberin per unit of mass [15, 126, 127].

While it is known that soil organic C has horizontal (along rooting path) and vertical (rooting and soil depth paths) gradients, an important aspect in understanding the decomposition of organic C in soil is to distinguish between above- and below-ground contributing sources. C source can be distinguished, relatively, in studies tracing cutin and suberin as suberin is primarily rootderived, while cutin is primarily leaf-derived. Based on a study that used field and lab incubation experiments to track contributions of plant biopolymers to SOM, it was reported that in the deciduous forest type studied, relative to leaf, root-contributed aliphatic compounds are a source of SOM with greater stability [17]. As summarized in the following additional examples, studies connecting suberin to SOM and C stabilization and storage belowground vary substantially in scale and resolution in the plant systems studied (forest type, crop system type or

cultivar influences, etc.), analytical methods employed and whether cutin, lignin and suberin were differentiated from each other or not. In one study, carbon-14 (¹⁴C) suberin molecular markers were used, which correlated with root biomass [128]. A positive correlation was observed between SOC and ¹⁴C content with fine root necromass, which suggested their greater contribution to SOC, in part due to suberin, and also that root necromass acts as a major source of SOC at soil depths greater than 60 cm. The weaker correlation between suberin and root necromass in surface soil profiles (between 10 and 35 cm deep) may be attributed to a higher level of degradation of root biomass, and a lower suberin content [128]. In another report by Angst et al. [129] focusing on a similar concept, a two phase model was proposed based on decomposition of suberin and cutin, using mass loss and NMR measurements. Rapid mass loss of suberin and cutin monomers was found to occur at the beginning of the incubated experiment due to the ability of soil microorganisms to rapidly degrade suberin and cutin disassociated with lignin, but a steady maintenance was observed for the latter half of the decomposition study. It was hypothesized that the slower, steadier decomposition in the latter half of the study was due to the recalcitrance of the residual lignin coupled with suberin and/or cutin monomer. A large part of variation seen among lipids, however, was not associated with assessed factors [129]. The study conducted focused upon fresh root as well as leaves and needles from European Beech and Norway Spruce, respectively [129]. In agreement with the other study by Angst, belowground sources or roots were more recalcitrant than aboveground sources including leaves and needles, the latter can be linked to the higher availability of more easily degradable substances [128, 129]. To gain insights into the coupling effect of lignin with suberin and cutin, effects of specific cutin and suberin monomers, chain length and lipid type were tested [129]. An inverse relationship between lipid concentration and chain length (C atoms within each monomer) was observed [129]. In conjunction with this information, slower mass loss of roots when compared to leaf and needle material suggests that suberin monomers (containing fatty acids with chain length greater than C20) may potentially decompose slower than cutin monomers (containing acids with chain length less than C18) [129]. These studies also suggest that α, ω -alkanedioic and midchain hydroxy acids can be used as root-specific markers and as shoot-specific markers, respectively [129, 130]. Even with the knowledge of these quantified factors, there remain other understudied influences such as relationships among variation in lipid concentration, organic mineral interactions, and their co-metabolism [128, 129] and their linkages to plant genetics is also understudied.

A study by Sumiyoshi et al. [80] aimed to address relationships between above- and below-ground biomass yield with variations in biomass composition and soil organic C pools. Their study, based on different types of perennial grasses, suggests root lignin content to be a primary driver in the rate of decomposition of plant tissues. Additionally, they found a correlation between aboveground and belowground biomass although this did not translate to higher soil organic C pools. Higher decomposition rates of plant tissues aligned with lower lignin composition in the biomass, but the authors did not separately account for suberin [80]. Similarly, studies are needed with a focus on suberin composition and structure in above- and below-ground tissues of bioenergy-relevant feedstocks and their resulting C inputs in the soil and impacts on C transformations and sequestration in soil, in order to be able to understand, quantify and model implications of suberin.

In a study of rice and the rape crops rotation, bulk and rhizosphere soil samples were analyzed for suberin diacids using GC–MS and compared to infer differences in C inputs across growth stages and cultivar types [131]. The study found that the monomer composition of suberin was altered across growth stages in a cultivar type. Suberin-derived monomer levels were higher in root rhizosphere relative to bulk soil, which also significantly correlated with soil organic C, SOC. The turnover and persistence of these suberin compounds in soil was, however, not followed in this short-term study [131].

While the fundamental genetics and genomics studies have yet to foray into implications in ecosystem settings and interconnections among the relevance of suberin in *C* contributions to soil, exciting recent discoveries in suberin biology such as discovery of a key suberization regulator [51] and evidence for reciprocal effects of root suberin (endodermal function) and associated microbiome [27], and the rapidly expanding genetic optimization approaches present exciting new avenues for addressing climate change challenges. Optimizing suberin and lignin content and composition in plant roots, increasing total root surface area, and creating deeper, more recalcitrant root systems could improve crop productivity and resilience while capturing and storing more *C* belowground.

Conversion of suberin and suberin-rich biomass to bio-products

Biological and thermochemical conversion of lignocellulosic biomass focuses primarily on methods used to convert and valorize the biopolymer cell wall components lignin, cellulose and hemicellulose. Suberin occurs in specialized tissues and certain types and physiological fractions of biomass including roots and bark that may occur in abundance in forestry and agricultural waste streams. Relevancy of bark and the significant suberin component in biomass harvested from woody bioenergy feedstock crops have received limited attention relative to lignin and cellulose. The indirect impacts of suberin abundance and composition on stem biomass conversion are related to the effects of root and/or bark suberin variation on plant growth, physiology and chemistry including composition of lignin, cellulose and hemicellulose or on overall agronomic performance (sustainable growth, stress adaption, yield agricultural inputs, etc.) of the feedstocks [80]. The direct impacts of suberin present in biomass conversion processes are related to the contribution of suberin as a component that produces favorable or unfavorable bioproducts or impacts the yields of the products from certain processes. Additionally, suberin has lower oxygen content (<15 wt%) and higher energy content relative to wood (24 vs. 21 MJ/kg) potentially making it an amenable feedstock for conversion processes [132]. Reviews covering specific routes and applications of bark and suberin conversion, particularly to renewable materials such as resins and composites can be found in [1, 84].

Thermochemical conversion methods such as pyrolysis are used to convert biomass to solid, liquid and gaseous products that could be used for chemical and energy production. Various types of catalysts and process conditions can be used to tune the distribution and properties of products derived from biomass where the biomass composition and pretreatment considerations are key factors in the conversion methodology. Thermochemical conversion of biomass high in suberin content relative to low-suberin biomass may result in various property and compositional differences related to water content, highheating value and specific lipid-derived species present in bio-oils. For example, silver birch bark pyrolyzed in a series of thermal stages and subsequent fractionation generated a variety of suberin-derived products in the organic fractions but overall lower liquid yield (37.1 wt% vs. 60-65 wt%) and higher yields of certain oxygenated compounds such as fatty acids and aqueous fractions; which are substantially less favorable qualities in comparison to products generated from pyrolysis of lower suberin birch woody xylem [133]. Studies on the relative amount of bark in feedstocks have shown impacts on thermochemical conversion of blends that included pine residues with bark [134] and various properties of oils [135]. Ren et al. pyrolyzed mixtures of loblolly pine wood and bark where they demonstrated that pure bark and higher bark content mixtures produced less favorable oil characteristics including higher water (increasing in bark content mixtures of up to 20 wt% water in the bark oil) and oxygen content (oxygen content of wood oil being approximately 20 wt% and increasing with bark

incorporation with pure bark oil being approximately 37 wt% oxygen) and phase separation (which was minimized at 50:50 mixture of wood:bark) [135]. However, they suggested it was important and possible to establish mixing ratios of wood:bark feeds to generate acceptable oils that valorize high-suberin waste or residual biomass feeds. Pyrolysis and gasification of pine park to produce syngas have also been investigated in co-processing mixtures with tire waste [136]. Synergistic effects were observed for the conversion of mixtures where co-pyrolysis enhanced energy efficiency and the addition of pine bark increased the quality of syngas generated from tire waste; for example, pine bark and waste tire mixtures resulted in higher C_mH_n conversion than their respective individual fractions [136]. Also, balsam fir bark pyrolysis oil and extracts have been studied to elucidate antioxidant and enzymatic inhibition properties, particularly in relation to higher-value product streams [137].

Catalysts have been incorporated in other thermochemical routes such as hydrogenolysis and depolymerization processes that have been implemented on barks and suberin-rich materials. Garrett et al. performed catalytic hydrogenolysis on various types of biomass barks using two different catalysts to understand the chemistry associated with the production of lipid and aromatic species derived from the suberin and lignin in the barks [138]. Their study highlighted the differences in suberin depolymerization from different biomass sources, namely spruce, sycamore and cork. For example, cork produced the highest oil yield from hydrogenolysis using Rh/C (11.5 wt%) but the lowest oil yield using Pd/C (7.2 wt%) whereas the highest oil yield from Pd/C was generated from sycamore (13.3 wt%) [138]. Various types of fatty acids derived from suberin were produced in yields totaling 2-3 wt% in catalytic runs and aromatics were produced on the order of 1-4 wt% depending on catalysts, feeds and conditions [138]. In a follow-up study, McCallum et al. investigated the hydrogenolysis of cork in the presence of heterogeneous catalyst supported on various bases and in different solvents to optimize yield and environmental impacts of the proposed conversion routes [139]. The authors reported oil yields of up to 42.6 wt% where lipid yields were maximized in solvent of 2-methyltetrahydrofuran:water ratio of 6:4 and aromatic yields were maximized to 8.7 wt% in methanol [139]. Quercus bark (cork) has undergone reductive catalytic fractionation (RCF) for production of bio-oil and specific chemicals including 4-ethylguaiacol derived from lignin and suberin [83]. RCF has also been used to convert black locust bark and wood to oils and different valorization strategies were suggested based on the differences in product properties between the two feeds resulting from suberin conversion [140]. Bark oil was produced at a maximum of 35.1 wt% yield using Pd/C catalyst where phenolics were produced at approximately 3 wt% yield and aliphatic monomers were produced at approximately 9 wt% yield of the bark depending on the catalyst and conditions used [140].

Other non-biological conversion strategies such as acid hydrolysis and liquefaction have been used to convert barks to chemical intermediates and products. Two stage acid hydrolysis of birch wood and bark was investigated by Kim et al. [141] to find optimal conversion conditions for the production of fermentable sugars. Acid catalyzed liquefaction of eucalyptus bark to recover cellulosic and sugar-derived products was investigated by Mateus et al. [142]. However, the impact of suberin directly on these processes was not fully considered.

Direct thermochemical depolymerization of suberin has been used to produce biofuels particularly in order to take advantage of its high energy content. Kumaniaev et al. isolated and depolymerized suberin from birch bark in an optimized system and subsequently upgraded the oligomeric products by hydrotreatment to produce diesel and aviation fuel ranges [143]. Oil yield was 40 wt% of the original bark mass with average higher heating value of 46.5 MJ/kg and based on 2-D GC analysis the oil products consisted of approximately 24 wt% n-alkanes, 23% branched alkanes and 25% alkenes/cycloalkenes where benzenes and aromatics constituted the remaining fractions [143]. Many thermochemical conversion methodologies of bark and high-suberin materials have mostly focused on the impacts of inorganics present in bark, [144] which does complicate a fundamental understanding of the contribution of suberin in the bark conversion, but further focus on the suberin impacts needs to be expanded. Additionally, studies outlining intentional removal of suberin prior to biomass conversion (aside from debarking) and impacts on biomass residue and resulting conversion potential are lacking.

Biological conversion methods used to convert biomass include enzymatic saccharification and hydrolysis, fermentation and anaerobic digestion (AD). Like thermochemical processes, biomass composition and pretreatment are important variables that can impact the yield and type of products generated. Suberin and/or bark presence in biological conversion methods targeted for sugar-derived chemicals by enzymatic hydrolysis has generally been shown to negatively impact the yield of desired products. For example, black locust bark suberin with known biocidal activity [145] must be considered in microbial fermentation and enzymatic conversion of sugars and pretreatment strategies of biomass containing suberin [146]. It is also relevant to note that suberin has been shown to have significant impacts on the digestibility of sugarcane cultivated for animal forage [147, 148]. Enzymatic conversion of elephant grass bark was not as readily degraded relative to the pith possibly due to the presence of biopolymers such as cutin as studied by Perez-Boada [149]. Anaerobic digestion (AD) of potato peel waste and its fermentation residue was performed by Liang et al. concomitantly with various characterization methods to better understand the relationship between the presence of biopolymer components such as lipids derived from suberin in the feedstocks and resulting products after conversion [150]. The authors hypothesized that their feedstocks produced up to approximately 65% CH₄ yield, higher than that produced from wood, in part due to the high lipid contents of the potato peel and corresponding fermentation residues (being 2-8 wt%). Utilization of *Pinus patula* bark in enzymatic saccharification and fermentation processes with implications on a biorefinery concept have been demonstrated, however, suberin was not specifically considered in the study [151].

Combinations of thermochemical and biological conversion platforms can improve economics and utilization of waste materials in biorefinery concepts. Like the individual approaches, combined conversion platforms may still be impacted and be necessarily adaptable to differences and changes in feedstock properties and composition. However, most studies have focused on feedstock quality attributes such as lignin content, ash content, cellulose crystallinity, surface area, etc. without considering suberin which would otherwise be related to bark content, energy content and other attributes known to impact pretreatment and conversion economics [152]. Rasi et al. demonstrated a cascade process of hot water extraction, AD and pyrolysis that could be used to valorize pine and spruce barks, but specific impacts of suberin on the processes were not covered [153]. Short rotation woody crop (SRWC) air classification was used to separate bark to improve combined bio-thermal conversion methodologies for conversion of "clean" woody material [154]. Their study showed that the whole biomass and "clean" wood (air classified to remove leaves, some bark, etc.) produced higher yields of pyrolysis oils with improved properties such as lower oxygen content than the "unclean" fraction consisting of bark and leaves, which produced higher amounts of char and gases [154], but suberin contribution to the processes or chemistry was not considered. A significant amount of work is still needed to better understand and improve conversion paradigms incorporating suberin chemistry from bioenergy-relevant feedstocks and the economic impacts on different processes. Additionally, demonstration of the effects of genetically modified stem suberin on these conversion processes is even less explored.

Conclusions and future perspectives

Knowledge gaps in understanding and optimizing suberin for sustainable bioenergy crop production

The significance of suberin in plant performance is unequivocal, and there are several lines of evidence supporting significance of suberin in potential economic (biomass conversion to energy and materials) and ecological (C inputs into soil and biogeochemical cycling) contexts. Substantial progress has been made in gaining genomics insights and developing analytical methods to understand suberin biosynthesis in plants and deposition in plants and soil. However, there is a need to increase the pace and expand the breadth and depth of these studies, particularly for bioenergy-relevant crops.

First, our current understanding of suberin genomics is derived primarily from plant growth and adaptation studies using Arabidopsis and food crops (Table 3) and is centered on linking gene function to suberin structure and function in plants. Accelerating suberin genomics/genetics studies in dedicated bioenergy crops that link suberin biology to agronomic, ecological and economic impacts will be needed to expand our understanding and practically consider suberin in sustainable bioenergy crop improvements efforts. Multi-omics strategies, as reviewed in [155], have been employed to understand structure-function relationships and how the cuticle layer and suberin lamellae are formed in many types of plants and tissues. However, such -omics strategies are yet to be employed towards understanding control-knobs of suberin chemistry in biorefinery-relevant lignocellulosic feedstocks (switchgrass, pine, poplar, etc.) along with coconsiderations of sustainability metrics.

Co-considerations of above- and below-ground plant chemistry and productivity will be necessary, especially in the context of suberin. Studies show that there is a strong genetic component and cultivar specificity to suberin quality and quantity, while also showing that as part of plant's adaptive mechanisms, suberin biosynthesis can be influenced by external abiotic and biotic factors. Applications of genomics/genetics and analytical approaches to characterizing stems and roots of large replicated populations under field conditions are needed to understand and quantify the interactive effects of the genetic and environmental components and improve crop performance for future climate scenarios. Advancements in systems and synthetic biology approaches can be leveraged to design plants with precise and differential gene expression in above and belowground tissues to generate plants that are cooptimized for enabling a carbon-neutral bioeconomy [156]. Extending plant-level suberin studies to crop plantation and stand levels for aboveground harvest and conversion metrics, and for belowground C budgeting and soil health metrics will be critical to addressing the large knowledge gaps between "the potential" and "the practical."

Correlation of suberin chemistry to soil health, microbial activity, and persistence and sequestration of soil C needs to be evaluated using improved analytical technologies in order to quantify the content, structure, composition and significance of suberin [64, 129] and establish its genetic underpinnings. Future studies will, therefore, need to consider substantially longer timeframes in keeping with decadal timeframes for C sequestration processes.

Technological advances needed for the analysis of suberin

While many wet chemistry, microscopy and spectroscopic techniques are used to isolate and/or analyze suberin in biomass successfully at various scales and with varying degrees of changes induced on the native structure and composition, there is not consistent or standardized and validated methodology that is universally used to characterize and define suberin content, structure and composition in biomass. Suberin architecture, spatiotemporal dynamics and macromolecular structure in cell walls are particularly primed for new advancements in knowledge. For example, in recent years multiple groundbreaking studies have applied multi-dimensional and other advanced solid-state NMR methodologies to ¹³C-enriched plant and fungal cell walls, gaining key information on their detailed molecular structure and high-level architecture [157, 158]. While as previously discussed ssNMR methods have proven invaluable, to the best of our knowledge advanced multi-dimensional ssNMR techniques, which could benefit from significant ¹³C isotopic enrichment, have not been applied to characterize suberized tissues. ¹³C enrichment of suberin should be possible, albeit expensive, by growing select plants in a ¹³CO₂ atmosphere using a controlled growth chamber. Solution-state NMR methods should also be established for spectroscopic phenotyping. A few examples of HSQC NMR fingerprinting applied to suberin have been used by various groups, but established analytical protocols, comparable to those developed by the Ralph lab for lignin analysis are lacking [46, 59, 78, 159, 160].

The majority of suberin analysis methods require a number of steps to prepare samples and while analytical techniques can provide detailed speciation of suberin moieties, high-throughput suberin analyses for large sample populations are lacking. One possible solution would be to adapt high-throughput pipelines used to analyze sugars and lignin in biomass to analyze suberin content and/or composition [161]. It may also be possible to make straightforward and streamlined methods for simultaneous analysis of the components in suberin such as that outlined in Marques et al. [53] have higherthroughput with the use of rapid heating low thermal mass modular accelerated column heater (LTM-MACH) GC modules and/or incorporate robotics or automated sample handling. Quantitation of suberin-derived analytes in GC analysis may also be improved when standards aren't available with the use of Polyarc reactors coupled to flame ionization detection (FID). Additionally, suberin analysis methods could aim to reduce the number of steps involved in the processes as outlined in Delude et al. [162]. Researchers could also benefit from development of rapid in-field analyses using hand-held spectrometers such as near infrared (NIR) or Raman, which have been used in lab or bench scale systems to analyze lignin content in roots [163] and in other biomass tissues [164].

Additionally, there are not specific, validated methodologies used for the characterization of suberin in soils, particularly to analyze isolated species that can differentiate biomass origins and are separate from microbial contributions, particularly lipid moieties [12, 13]. Suberin as a biomarker in soil has shown potential to be species specific [165] which may require that analytics be capable of resolving particular types of suberin from particular types of sources to properly inform relevant impacts of suberin from different biomass types on various sustainability metrics associated with feedstock production. An understanding of suberin chemistry in plant and soil health and those potential returns on biomass productivity and relationships with genomics and economics of conversion, as well as C utilization, is also needed for population-scale studies for feedstocks destined for biorefinery applications. The analysis of suberin-derived species at particular points in biorefinery processes will also be essential for understanding suberin impacts on lignocellulosic conversion and for identification of valueadded components.

Suberin for optimized conversion platforms and value-added bio-products

Optimizing suberin in biomass for conversion platforms can be approached by designing biomass with suberin that improves biomass yields, conversion potential and/ or consists of suberin in plant tissues with favorable characteristics for direct valorization. Additionally, it will be important to establish relationships between biomass conversion and suberin abundance in bark, roots and other bioenergy feedstock tissues, with or without the presence of suberin in biomass being converted, thereby measuring the impacts of suberin on lignocellulosic conversion methodology. Further, conversion methods themselves can be optimized for biomass to include or account for suberin conversion.

Direct conversion of high-suberin biomass has been demonstrated using a number of different approaches as outlined here and in other reviews that have provided a brief history and review of some suberin utilization and conversion strategies for production of a variety of materials with various applications [1, 84]. High suberin biomass and isolated suberin conversion could potentially increase the utilization of biomass waste, particularly in biorefinery contexts. Incorporating suberin chemistry into genomics, biomass production and conversion platforms is desirable. Life cycle analyses (LCA) and techno-economic analyses (TEA) could be conducted to evaluate impacts of various suberin chemistry and associated plant performance scenarios on yield, titre, conversion approaches and other economic outcomes [143]. LCA and TEA using various scenarios of suberin incorporation can be useful in assessing outcomes on C budgeting (C capture vs release accounting) and the extended ecological and environmental impacts. The expansion of high-suberin biomass conversion and novel routes used to convert and valorize suberin itself could also ensure more efficient biomass resource utilization.

Summary

Taken together, suberin exists at a high impact vantage point, and deeper and broader studies tracking suberin chemistry, underlying genes and associated economic and environmental impacts are urgently needed to undertake informed co-optimization of both above- and belowground plant tissues and to enable the vision of a circular, carbon-neutral and sustainable bioeconomy. Harnessing plants and their chemistry for environmental and economic co-benefits will require us to address key gaps in our fundamental knowledge base, integrate above- and below-ground aspects and better model impacts across scales. Cross-disciplinary perspectives and expertise will be needed to cover plant biology, systems and synthetic biology, analytical chemistry, processing, agronomy, forestry, ecology, data analytics and modeling aspects for assessing and optimizing plant performance and productivity, and evaluating impacts on ecosystem and biorefinery performance. For population-scale studies and higher resolution characterization, there is a need for consistent, standardized and high-throughput analytical characterization with links to genome science and technology to enable predictive systems biology models. Last, but not the least, integration of suberin chemistry with multiple lines of evidence from genomics, phenotyping, biogeochemistry and conversion assessments into TEA and LCA models will be needed in holistically considered biorefinery operations and management of dedicated

bioenergy crop plantations in order to enable a sustainable bioeconomy.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Bryon Donohoe and Tim Tschaplinski for helpful discussion and review of an early draft of manuscript. SS was part of the Oak Ridge National Laboratory Higher Education Research Experiences (HERE) Program, sponsored by the U.S. Department of Energy and administered by the Oak Ridge Institute for Science and Education.

This manuscript has been authored by UT-Battelle, LLC under Contract No. DE-AC05-00OR22725 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The United States Government retains and the publisher, by accepting the article for publication, acknowledges that the United States Government retains a nonexclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, world-wide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this manuscript, or allow others to do so, for United States Government purposes. The Department of Energy will provide public access to these results of federally sponsored research in accordance with the DOE Public Access Plan(http://energy.gov/downloads/doe-public-access-plan).

Authors' contributions

AEW wrote text, constructed tables and edited, SS wrote text, constructed tables and edited, BA wrote text, constructed tables and edited, UCK wrote text, edited, provided oversight and assisted with production of figure graphics. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This research was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy (EERE), Bioenergy Technologies Office (BETO), under Award No. DE-AC36-08GO28308 with the National Renewable Energy Laboratory. Funding was also provided by the Center for Bioenergy Innovation (CBI), from the U.S. Department of Energy Bioenergy Research Centers supported by the Office of Biological and Environmental Research in the DOE Office of Science. This manuscript has been authored or coauthored by UT-Battelle, LLC under Contract No. DE-AC05-00OR22725 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The publisher, by accepting the article for publication, acknowledges that the U.S. Government retains a nonexclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, worldwide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this work, or allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes. The views expressed in the article do not necessarily represent the views of the U.S. Department of Energy or the United States Government.

Availability of data and materials

Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

Ethics approval and consent to participate Not applicable.

Consent for publication Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: 30 September 2020 Accepted: 27 January 2021 Published online: 20 March 2021

References

- 1. Graça J. Suberin: the biopolyester at the frontier of plants. Front Chem. 2015. https://doi.org/10.3389/fchem.2015.00062.
- Evert RF. Esau's plant anatomy: meristems, cells, and tissues of the plant 2. body: their structure, function, and development, Third Edition; 2006.
- Delaux PM, Nanda AK, Mathe C, Sejalon-Delmas N, Dunand C. Molecular and biochemical aspects of plant terrestrialization. Perspect Plant Ecol. 2012:14(1):49-59
- Kosma DK, Molina I, Ohlrogge JB, Pollard M. Identification of an arabidopsis fatty Alcohol:Caffeoyl-Coenzyme A acyltransferase required for

the synthesis of alkyl hydroxycinnamates in root waxes. Plant Physiol. 2012;160(1):237.

- Ranathunge K, Schreiber L, Franke R. Suberin research in the genomics era-New interest for an old polymer. Plant Sci. 2011;180(3):399–413.
- Franke R, Schreiber L. Suberin—a biopolyester forming apoplastic plant interfaces. Curr Opin Plant Biol. 2007;10(3):252–9.
- Franke RB, Dombrink I, Schreiber L. Suberin goes genomics: use of a short living plant to investigate a long lasting polymer. Front Plant Sci. 2012. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2012.00004.
- Pereira H. Chemical composition and variability of cork from Quercus suber L. Wood Sci Technol. 1988;22(3):211–8.
- Marques AV, Pereira H. On the determination of suberin and other structural components in cork from *Quercus suber* L. Ann Agron Inst. 1987:321–335.
- Kolattukudy PE, Kronman K, Poulose AJ. Determination of structure and composition of suberin from the roots of carrot, parsnip, rutabaga, turnip, red beet, and sweet potato by combined gas-liquid chromatography and mass spectrometry. Plant Physiol. 1975;55(3):567.
- 11. Holloway PJ. Some variations in the composition of suberin from the cork layers of higher-plants. Phytochemistry. 1983;22(2):495–502.
- Buurman P, Peterse F, Almendros Martin G. Soil organic matter chemistry in allophanic soils: a pyrolysis-GC/MS study of a Costa Rican Andosol catena. Eur J Soil Sci. 2007;58(6):1330–47.
- Sleutel S, Kader MA, Leinweber P, D'Haene K, De Neve S. Tillage management alters surface soil organic matter composition: a pyrolysis mass spectroscopy study. Soil Sci Soc Am J. 2007;71(5):1620–8.
- 14. Kolattukudy PE. Suberin from plants. Biopolymers Online 2005.
- Suseela V, Tharayil N, Pendall E, Rao AM. Warming and elevated CO2 alter the suberin chemistry in roots of photosynthetically divergent grass species. AoB Plants. 2017, 9(5).
- Huang Z, Davis MR, Condron LM, Clinton PW. Soil carbon pools, plant biomarkers and mean carbon residence time after afforestation of grassland with three tree species. Soil Biol Biochem. 2011;43(6):1341–9.
- Crow SE, Lajtha K, Filley TR, Swanston CW, Bowden RD, Caldwell BA. Sources of plant-derived carbon and stability of organic matter in soil: implications for global change. Glob Change Biol. 2009;15(8):2003–19.
- Baum C, Eckhardt KU, Hahn J, Weih M, Dimitriou I, Leinweber P. Impact of poplar on soil organic matter quality and microbial communities in arable soils. Plant, Soil Environ. 2013;59(3):95–100.
- Hosmani PS, Kamiya T, Danku J, Naseer S, Geldner N, Guerinot ML, Salt DE. Dirigent domain-containing protein is part of the machinery required for formation of the lignin-based Casparian strip in the root. P Natl Acad Sci USA. 2013;110(40):16283–16283.
- 20. Doblas VG, Geldner N, Barberon M. The endodermis, a tightly controlled barrier for nutrients. Curr Opin Plant Biol. 2017;39:136–43.
- Barberon M, Vermeer JEM, De Bellis D, Wang P, Naseer S, Andersen TG, Humbel BM, Nawrath C, Takano J, Salt DE. Adaptation of root function by nutrient-induced plasticity of endodermal differentiation. Cell. 2016;164(3):447–59.
- Baxter I, Hosmani PS, Rus A, Lahner B, Borevitz JO, Muthukumar B, Mickelbart MV, Schreiber L, Franke RB, Salt DE. Root suberin forms an extracellular barrier that affects water relations and mineral nutrition in arabidopsis. Plos Genet. 2009;5(5):e1000492.
- Lulai EC, Corsini DL. Differential deposition of suberin phenolic and aliphatic domains and their roles in resistance to infection during potato tuber (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) wound-healing. Physiol Mol Plant. 1998;53(4):209–22.
- 24. Thomas R, Fang X, Ranathunge K, Anderson TR, Peterson CA, Bernards MA. Soybean root suberin: anatomical distribution, chemical composition, and relationship to partial resistance to Phytophthora sojae. Plant Physiol. 2007;144(1):299–311.
- Lulai EC, Orr PH. Techniques for detecting and measuring developmental and maturational changes in tuber native periderm. Am Potato J. 1994;71(8):489–505.
- Kotula L, Ranathunge K, Schreiber L, Steudle E. Functional and chemical comparison of apoplastic barriers to radial oxygen loss in roots of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) grown in aerated or deoxygenated solution. J Exp Bot. 2009;60(7):2155–67.
- 27. Salas-González I, Reyt G, Flis P, Custódio V, Gopaulchan D, Bakhoum N, Dew TP, Suresh K, Franke RB, Dangl JL, et al. Coordination between

microbiota and root endodermis supports plant mineral nutrient homeostasis. Science. 2021;371(6525):eabd0695.

- Pollard M, Beisson F, Li YH, Ohlrogge JB. Building lipid barriers: biosynthesis of cutin and suberin. Trends Plant Sci. 2008;13(5):236–46.
- 29. Schreiber L. Transport barriers made of cutin, suberin and associated waxes. Trends Plant Sci. 2010;15(10):546–53.
- vanDoorn WG, Stead AD. Abscission of flowers and floral parts. J Exp Bot. 1997;48(309):821–37.
- Enstone DE, Peterson CA, Ma FS. Root endodermis and exodermis: Structure, function, and responses to the environment. J Plant Growth Regul. 2002;21(4):335–51.
- Zeier J, Goll A, Yokoyama M, Karahara I, Schreiber L. Structure and chemical composition of endodermal and rhizodermal hypodermal walls of several species. Plant Cell Environ. 1999;22(3):271–9.
- 33. Bernards MA. Demystifying suberin. Can J Bot. 2002;80(3):227-40.
- Jupp AP, Newman El. Morphological and anatomical effects of severe Drought on the roots of Lolium-Perenne L. New Phytol. 1987;105(3):393–402.
- Reinhardt DH, Rost TL. Salinity accelerates endodermal development and induces an exodermis in cotton seedling roots. Environ Exp Bot. 1995;35(4):563–74.
- Jackson PC, Taylor JM. Effects of organic acids on ion uptake and retention in barley roots. Plant Physiol. 1970;46(4):538.
- Laanbroek HJ. Bacterial cycling of minerals that affect plant-growth in waterlogged soils—a review. Aquat Bot. 1990;38(1):109–25.
- Armstrong J, Armstrong W. Rice and Phragmites: effects of organic acids on growth, root permeability, and radial oxygen loss to the rhizosphere. Am J Bot. 2001;88(8):1359–70.
- Greenway H, Armstrong W, Colmer TD. Conditions leading to high CO2 (> 5 kPa) in waterlogged-flooded soils and possible effects on root growth and metabolism. Ann Bot-London. 2006;98(1):9–32.
- Pozuelo JM, Espelie KE, Kolattukudy PE. Magnesium-deficiency results in increased suberization in endodermis and hypodermis of corn roots. Plant Physiol. 1984;74(2):256–60.
- Kolattukudy PE, Agrawal VP. Structure and composition of aliphatic constituents of potato tuber skin (suberin). Lipids. 1974;9(9):682–91.
- 42. Kolattukudy PE. Biopolyester membranes of plants: cutin and suberin. Science. 1980;208(4447):990–1000.
- Schmutz A, Jenny T, Amrhein N, Ryser U. Caffeic acid and glycerol are constituents of the suberin layers in green cotton fibres. Planta. 1993;189(3):453–60.
- Graça J, Santos S. Suberin: a biopolyester of plants' skin. Macromol Biosci. 2007;7(2):128–35.
- Moire L, Schmutz A, Buchala A, Yan B, Stark RE, Ryser U. Glycerol is a suberin monomer. New experimental evidence for an old hypothesis. Plant Physiol. 1999;119(3):1137–46.
- Correia VG, Bento A, Pais J, Rodrigues R, Haliński ŁP, Frydrych M, Greenhalgh A, Stepnowski P, Vollrath F, King AWT, et al. The molecular structure and multifunctionality of the cryptic plant polymer suberin. Materials Today Bio. 2020;5:100039.
- Sitte P. Zum Feinbau der Suberinschichten im Flaschenkork. Protoplasma. 1962;54(4):555–9.
- Lulai EC, Morgan WC. Histochemical probing of potato periderm with neutral red: a sensitive cytofluorochrome for the hydrophobic domain of suberin. Biotech Histochem. 1992;67(4):185–95.
- Lux A, Morita S, Abe J, Ito K. An improved method for clearing and staining free-hand sections and whole-mount samples. Ann Bot-London. 2005;96(6):989–96.
- Ursache R, Andersen TG, Marhavý P, Geldner N. A protocol for combining fluorescent proteins with histological stains for diverse cell wall components. Plant J. 2018;93(2):399–412.
- Cohen H, Fedyuk V, Wang C, Wu S, Aharoni A. SUBERMAN regulates developmental suberization of the Arabidopsis root endodermis. Plant J. 2020;102(3):431–47.
- Gou M, Hou G, Yang H, Zhang X, Cai Y, Kai G, Liu C-J. The MYB107 transcription factor positively regulates suberin biosynthesis. Plant Physiol. 2017;173(2):1045.
- Marques AV, Pereira H. A methodological approach for the simultaneous quantification of glycerol and fatty acids from cork suberin in a single GC run. Phytochem Anal. 2019;30(6):687–99.

- Thiombiano B, Gontier E, Molinié R, Marcelo P, Mesnard F, Dauwe R. An untargeted liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry-based workflow for the structural characterization of plant polyesters. Plant J. 2020;102(6):1323–39.
- Qin L, Zhang Y, Liu Y, He H, Han M, Li Y, Zeng M, Wang X. Recent advances in matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionisation mass spectrometry imaging (MALDI-MSI) for in situ analysis of endogenous molecules in plants. Phytochem Anal. 2018;29(4):351–64.
- Veličković D, Herdier H, Philippe G, Marion D, Rogniaux H, Bakan B. Matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization mass spectrometry imaging: a powerful tool for probing the molecular topology of plant cutin polymer. Plant J. 2014;80(5):926–35.
- Marques AV, Pereira H. Lignin monomeric composition of corks from the barks of Betula pendula, Quercus suber and Quercus cerris determined by Py–GC–MS/FID. J Anal Appl Pyrol. 2013;100:88–94.
- Liang S, McDonald AG. Chemical and thermal characterization of potato peel waste and its fermentation residue as potential resources for biofuel and bioproducts production. J Agric Food Chem. 2014;62(33):8421–9.
- 59. António Velez M, Jorge R, Ana G, José CDR, Helena P. Ferulates and lignin structural composition in cork. Holzforschung. 2016;70(4):275–89.
- Monreal CM, Schulten HR, Kodama H. Age, turnover and molecular diversity of soil organic matter in aggregates of a Gleysol. Can J Soil Sci. 1997;77(3):379–88.
- Kiersch K, Kruse J, Eckhardt K-U, Fendt A, Streibel T, Zimmermann R, Broll G, Leinweber P. Impact of grassland burning on soil organic matter as revealed by a synchrotron- and pyrolysis–mass spectrometry-based multi-methodological approach. Org Geochem. 2012;44:8–20.
- Derenne S, Quenea K. Analytical pyrolysis as a tool to probe soil organic matter. J Anal Appl Pyrol. 2015;111:108–20.
- Melnitchouck A, Leinweber P, Broer I, Eckhardt K-U. Pyrolysis-field ionization mass spectrometry of rhizodeposits—a new approach to identify potential effects of genetically modified plants on soil organisms. Environ Biosaf Res. 2006;5(1):37–46.
- 64. Nierop KGJ. Temporal and vertical organic matter differentiation along a vegetation succession as revealed by pyrolysis and thermally assisted hydrolysis and methylation. J Anal Appl Pyrol. 2001;61(1):111–32.
- Estournel-Pelardy C, El-Mufleh Al Husseini A, Doskočil L, Grasset L. A two-step thermochemolysis for soil organic matter analysis. Application to lipid-free organic fraction and humic substances from an ombrotrophic peatland. J Anal Appl Pyrolysis. 2013;104:103–10.
- Jeannotte R, Hamel C, Jabaji S, Whalen JK. Pyrolysis-mass spectrometry and gas chromatography-flame ionization detection as complementary tools for soil lipid characterization. J Anal Appl Pyrol. 2011;90(2):232–7.
- Plante AF, Magrini-Bair K, Vigil M, Paul EA. Pyrolysis-molecular beam mass spectrometry to characterize soil organic matter composition in chemically isolated fractions from diVering land uses. Biogeochemistry. 2009;92:145–61.
- Haddix ML, Magrini-Bair K, Evans RJ, Conant RT, Wallenstein MD, Morris SJ, Calderón F, Paul EA. Progressing towards more quantitative analytical pyrolysis of soil organic matter using molecular beam mass spectroscopy of whole soils and added standards. Geoderma. 2016;283:88–100.
- 69. Garbow JR, Ferrantello LM, Stark RE. 13C nuclear magnetic resonance study of suberized potato cell wall. Plant Physiol. 1989;90(3):783–7.
- Stark RE, Garbow JR. Nuclear magnetic resonance relaxation studies of plant polyester dynamics. 2. Suberized potato cell wall. Macromolecules. 1992;25:149–54.
- Gil AM, Lopes M, Rocha J, Pascoal Neto C. A 13C solid state nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopic study of cork cell wall structure: the effect of suberin removal. Int J Biol Macromol. 1997;20(4):293–305.
- Yan B, Stark RE. A WISE NMR approach to heterogeneous biopolymer mixtures: dynamics and domains in wounded potato tissues. Macromolecules. 1998;31(8):2600–5.
- Lopes MH, Gil AM, Silvestre AJD, Neto CP. Composition of suberin extracted upon gradual alkaline methanolysis of *Quercus suber* L. cork. J Agric Food Chem. 2000;48:383–91.
- Santos S, Cabral V, Graça J. Cork suberin molecular structure: stereochemistry of the C18 epoxy and vic-diol ω-hydroxyacids and α, ω-Diacids Analyzed by NMR. J Agric Food Chem. 2013;61(29):7038–47.

- 75. Yan B, Stark RE. Biosynthesis, molecular structure, and domain architecture of potato suberin: a 13C NMR study using isotopically labeled precursors. J Agric Food Chem. 2000;48(8):3298–304.
- Stark RE, Sohn W, Pacchiano RA Jr, Al-Bashir M, Garbow JR. Following suberization in potato wound periderm by histochemical and solid-state 13C nuclear magnetic resonance methods. Plant Physiol. 1994;104(2):527–33.
- Pacchiano RA, Sohn W, Chlanda VL, Garbow JR, Stark RE. Isolation and spectral characterization of plant-cuticle polyesters. J Agric Food Chem. 1993;41:78–83.
- Arrieta-Baez D, Stark RE. Using trifluoroacetic acid to augment studies of potato suberin molecular structure. J Agr Food Chem. 2006;54(26):9636–41.
- Yu B, Vengadesan G, Wang H, Jashi L, Yefremov T, Tian S, Gaba V, Shomer I, Stark RE. Magic-angle spinning NMR studies of cell wall bound aromatic—aliphatic biopolyesters associated with strengthening of intercellular adhesion in potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) tuber parenchyma. Biomacromol. 2006;7(3):937–44.
- Sumiyoshi Y, Crow SE, Litton CM, Deenik JL, Taylor AD, Turano B, Ogoshi R. Belowground impacts of perennial grass cultivation for sustainable biofuel feedstock production in the tropics. GCB Bioenergy. 2017;9(4):694–709.
- Gandini A. Polymers from renewable resources: a challenge for the future of macromolecular materials. Macromolecules. 2008;41(24):9491–504.
- Pinto PCRO, Sousa AR, Silvestre AJD, Neto CP, Gandini A, Eckerman C, Holmbom B. Quercus suber and Betula pendula outer barks as renewable sources of oleochemicals: a comparative study. Ind Crop Prod. 2009;29(1):126–32.
- Kumaniaev I, Samec JSM. Valorization of Quercus suber Bark toward Hydrocarbon Bio-Oil and 4-Ethylguaiacol. ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering. 2018;6(5):5737–42.
- Feng S, Cheng S, Yuan Z, Leitch M, Xu C. Valorization of bark for chemicals and materials: a review. Renew Sustain Energy Rev. 2013;26:560–78.
- Kilian J, Whitehead D, Horak J, Wanke D, Weinl S, Batistic O, D'Angelo C, Bornberg-Bauer E, Kudla J, Harter K. The AtGenExpress global stress expression data set: protocols, evaluation and model data analysis of UV-B light, drought and cold stress responses. Plant J. 2007;50(2):347–63.
- Rains MK, de Silva NDG, Molina I. Reconstructing the suberin pathway in poplar by chemical and transcriptomic analysis of bark tissues. Tree Physiol. 2018;38(3):340–61.
- Vishwanath SJ, Delude C, Domergue F, Rowland O. Suberin: biosynthesis, regulation, and polymer assembly of a protective extracellular barrier. Plant Cell Rep. 2015;34(4):573–86.
- Höfer R, Briesen I, Beck M, Pinot F, Schreiber L, Franke R. The Arabidopsis cytochrome P450 CYP86A1 encodes a fatty acid omegahydroxylase involved in suberin monomer biosynthesis. J Exp Bot. 2008;59(9):2347–60.
- Li YH, Beisson F, Koo AJK, Molina I, Pollard M, Ohlrogge J. Identification of acyltransferases required for cutin biosynthesis and production of cutin with suberin-like monomers. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2007;104(46):18339–44.
- Compagnon V, Diehl P, Benveniste I, Meyer D, Schaller H, Schreiber L, Franke R, Pinot F. CYP86B1 is required for very long chain omegahydroxyacid and alpha, omega-dicarboxylic acid synthesis in root and seed suberin polyester. Plant Physiol. 2009;150(4):1831–43.
- Serra O, Soler M, Hohn C, Sauveplane V, Pinot F, Franke R, Schreiber L, Prat S, Molinas M, Figueras M. CYP86A33-targeted gene silencing in potato tuber alters suberin composition, distorts suberin lamellae, and impairs the periderm's water barrier function. Plant Physiol. 2009;149(2):1050–60.
- Joubes J, Raffaele S, Bourdenx B, Garcia C, Laroche-Traineau J, Moreau P, Domergue F, Lessire R. The VLCFA elongase gene family in Arabidopsis thaliana: phylogenetic analysis, 3D modelling and expression profiling. Plant Mol Biol. 2008;67(5):547–66.
- Todd J, Post-Beittenmiller D, Jaworski JG. KCS1 encodes a fatty acid elongase 3-ketoacyl-CoA synthase affecting wax biosynthesis in Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant J. 1999;17(2):119–30.

- Trenkamp S, Martin W, Tietjen K. Specific and differential inhibition of very-long-chain fatty acid elongases from *Arabidopsis thaliana* by different herbicides. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2004;101(32):11903.
- Blacklock BJ, Jaworski JG. Substrate specificity of Arabidopsis 3-ketoacyl-CoA synthases. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2006;346(2):583–90.
- Paul S, Gable K, Beaudoin F, Cahoon E, Jaworski J, Napier JA, Dunn TM. Members of the Arabidopsis FAE1-like 3-ketoacyl-CoA synthase gene family substitute for the Elop proteins of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. J Biol Chem. 2006;281(14):9018–29.
- Lee SB, Jung SJ, Go YS, Kim HU, Kim JK, Cho HJ, Park OK, Suh MC. Two Arabidopsis 3-ketoacyl CoA synthase genes, KCS20 and KCS2/ DAISY, are functionally redundant in cuticular wax and root suberin biosynthesis, but differentially controlled by osmotic stress. Plant J. 2009;60(3):462–75.
- Franke R, Höfer R, Briesen I, Emsermann M, Efremova N, Yephremov A, Schreiber L. The DAISY gene from Arabidopsis encodes a fatty acid elongase condensing enzyme involved in the biosynthesis of aliphatic suberin in roots and the chalaza-micropyle region of seeds. Plant J. 2009;57(1):80–95.
- Domergue F, Vishwanath SJ, Joubes J, Ono J, Lee JA, Bourdon M, Alhattab R, Lowe C, Pascal S, Lessire R, et al. Three arabidopsis fatty acyl-coenzyme a reductases, FAR1, FAR4, and FAR5, generate primary fatty alcohols associated with suberin deposition. Plant Physiol. 2010;153(4):1539–54.
- Molina I, Li-Beisson Y, Beisson F, Ohlrogge JB, Pollard M. Identification of an arabidopsis feruloyl-coenzyme a transferase required for suberin synthesis. Plant Physiol. 2009;151(3):1317–28.
- Gou JY, Yu XH, Liu CJ. A hydroxycinnamoyltransferase responsible for synthesizing suberin aromatics in Arabidopsis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2009;106(44):18855–60.
- Bernards MA, Lopez ML, Zajicek J, Lewis NG. Hydroxycinnamic acidderived polymers constitute the polyaromatic domain of suberin. J Biol Chem. 1995;270(13):7382–6.
- Serra O, Hohn C, Franke R, Prat S, Molinas M, Figueras M. A feruloyl transferase involved in the biosynthesis of suberin and suberin-associated wax is required for maturation and sealing properties of potato periderm. Plant J. 2010;62(2):277–90.
- Cheng AX, Gou JY, Yu XH, Yang HJ, Fang X, Chen XY, Liu CJ. Characterization and ectopic expression of a populus hydroxyacid hydroxycinnamoyltransferase. Mol Plant. 2013;6(6):1889–903.
- Farmer MJ, Czernic P, Michael A, Negrel J. Identification and characterization of cDNA clones encoding hydroxycinnamoyl-CoA: tyramine N-hydroxycinnamoyltransferase from tobacco. Eur J Biochem. 1999;263(3):686–94.
- Negrel J, Javelle F, Paynot M. Wound-induced tyramine hydroxycinnamoyl transferase in potato (Solanum-Tuberosum) tuber disks. J Plant Physiol. 1993;142(5):518–24.
- Schmidt A, Grimm R, Schmidt J, Scheel D, Strack D, Rosahl S. Cloning and expression of a potato cDNA encoding hydroxycinnamoyl-CoA : Tyramine N-(hydroxycinnamoyl)transferase. J Biol Chem. 1999;274(7):4273–80.
- Lee DE, Kang K, Lee SG, Back K. Enhanced synthesis of feruloyltyramine and 4-coumaroyltyramine is associated with tyramine availability in transgenic rice expressing pepper tyramine N-hydroxycinnamoyltransferase. Plant Sci. 2007;172(1):57–63.
- Woolfson KN, Haggitt ML, Zhang YN, Kachura A, Bjelica A, Rincon MAR, Kaberi KM, Bernards MA. Differential induction of polar and non-polar metabolism during wound-induced suberization in potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) tubers. Plant J. 2018;93(5):931–42.
- Lahner B, Gong J, Mahmoudian M, Smith EL, Abid KB, Rogers EE, Guerinot ML, Harper JF, Ward JM, McIntyre L. Genomic scale profiling of nutrient and trace elements in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Nat Biotechnol. 2003;21(10):1215–21.
- Pighin JA, Zheng HQ, Balakshin LJ, Goodman IP, Western TL, Jetter R, Kunst L, Samuels AL. Plant cuticular lipid export requires an ABC transporter. Science. 2004;306(5696):702–4.
- 112. Shanmugarajah K, Linka N, Grafe K, Smits SHJ, Weber APM, Zeier J, Schmitt L. ABCG1 contributes to suberin formation in Arabidopsis thaliana roots. Sci Rep. 2019;9:1–12.
- Landgraf R, Smolka U, Altmann S, Eschen-Lippold L, Senning M, Sonnewald S, Weigel B, Frolova N, Strehmel N, Hause G, et al. The ABC

transporter ABCG1 is required for suberin formation in potato tuber periderm. Plant Cell. 2014;26(8):3403.

- Cottle W, Kolattukudy PE. Abscisic-acid stimulation of suberization induction of enzymes and deposition of polymeric components and associated waxes in tissue-cultures of potato-tuber. Plant Physiol. 1982;70(3):775–80.
- 115. Verdaguer R, Soler M, Serra O, Garrote A, Fernandez S, Company-Arumi D, Antico E, Molinas M, Figueras M. Silencing of the potato StNAC103 gene enhances the accumulation of suberin polyester and associated wax in tuber skin. J Exp Bot. 2016;67(18):5415–27.
- Lashbrooke J, Cohen H, Levy-Samocha D, Tzfadia O, Panizel I, Zeisler V, Massalha H, Stern A, Trainotti L, Schreiber L, et al. MYB107 and MYB9 homologs regulate suberin deposition in angiosperms. Plant Cell. 2016;28(9):2097.
- Legay S, Guerriero G, André C, Guignard C, Cocco E, Charton S, Boutry M, Rowland O, Hausman J-F. MdMyb93 is a regulator of suberin deposition in russeted apple fruit skins. New Phytol. 2016;212(4):977–91.
- Kosma DK, Murmu J, Razeq FM, Santos P, Bourgault R, Molina I, Rowland O. AtMYB41 activates ectopic suberin synthesis and assembly in multiple plant species and cell types. Plant J. 2014;80(2):216–29.
- 119. Figueiredo R, Llerena JPP, Kiyota E, Ferreira SS, Cardeli BR, de Souza SCR, Brito MD, Sodek L, Cesarino I, Mazzafera P. The sugarcane ShMYB78 transcription factor activates suberin biosynthesis in Nicotiana benthamiana. Plant Mol Biol. 2020;104(4–5):411–27.
- 120. Beisson F, Li YH, Bonaventure G, Pollard M, Ohlrogge JB. The acyltransferase GPAT5 is required for the synthesis of suberin in seed coat and root of Arabidopsis. Plant Cell. 2007;19(1):351–68.
- 121. Kannangara R, Branigan C, Liu Y, Penfield T, Rao V, Mouille G, Hofte H, Pauly M, Riechmann JL, Broun P. The transcription factor WIN1/ SHN1 regulates cutin biosynthesis in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant Cell. 2007;19(4):1278–94.
- Jackson RB, Mooney HA, Schulze ED. A global budget for fine root biomass, surface area, and nutrient contents. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 1997;94(14):7362.
- 123. McCormack ML, Dickie IA, Eissenstat DM, Fahey TJ, Fernandez CW, Guo DL, Helmisaari HS, Hobbie EA, Iversen CM, Jackson RB, et al. Redefining fine roots improves understanding of below-ground contributions to terrestrial biosphere processes. New Phytol. 2015;207(3):505–18.
- 124. Jones DL, Nguyen C, Finlay RD. Carbon flow in the rhizosphere: carbon trading at the soil-root interface. Plant Soil. 2009;321(1–2):5–33.
- Robbins NE, Dinneny JR. Growth is required for perception of water availability to pattern root branches in plants. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2018;115(4):E822.
- 126. Nie M, Lu M, Bell J, Raut S, Pendall E. Altered root traits due to elevated CO2: a meta-analysis. Global Ecol Biogeogr. 2013;22(10):1095–105.
- Carrillo Y, Dijkstra FA, LeCain D, Morgan JA, Blumenthal D, Waldron S, Pendall E. Disentangling root responses to climate change in a semiarid grassland. Oecologia. 2014;175(2):699–711.
- Angst G, John S, Mueller CW, Kogel-Knabner I, Rethemeyer J. Tracing the sources and spatial distribution of organic carbon in subsoils using a multi-biomarker approach. Sci Rep. 2016. https://doi.org/10.1038/ srep29478.
- Angst G, Heinrich L, Kögel-Knabner I, Mueller CW. The fate of cutin and suberin of decaying leaves, needles and roots—Inferences from the initial decomposition of bound fatty acids. Org Geochem. 2016;95:81–92.
- Mendez-Millan M, Dignac MF, Rumpel C, Rasse DP, Derenne S. Molecular dynamics of shoot vs. root biomarkers in an agricultural soil estimated by natural abundance C-13 labelling. Soil Biol Biochem. 2010;42(2):169–77.
- 131. Ji H, Ding Y, Liu X, Li L, Zhang D, Li Z, Sun J, Lashari MS, Joseph S, Meng Y, et al. Root-derived short-chain suberin diacids from rice and rape seed in a paddy soil under rice cultivar treatments. PLoS ONE. 2015;10(5):e0127474.
- Rossi A. Fuel characteristics of wood and nonwood biomass fuels. In: Tillman DA, Jahn EC, editors. Progress in biomass conversion, vol 5. New York: Elsevier; 1984. p. 69–99.
- 133. Zhao Q, Mäkinen M, Haapala A, Jänis J. Thermochemical conversion of birch bark by temperature-programmed slow pyrolysis with fractional condensation. J Anal Appl Pyrol. 2020;150:104843.
- 134. Edmunds CW, Reyes Molina EA, André N, Hamilton C, Park S, Fasina O, Adhikari S, Kelley SS, Tumuluru JS, Rials TG, et al. Blended feedstocks

for thermochemical conversion: biomass characterization and bio-oil production from switchgrass-pine residues blends. Front Energy Res. 2018. https://doi.org/10.3389/fenrg.2018.00079.

- Ren X, Meng J, Chang J, Kelley SS, Jameel H, Park S. Effect of blending ratio of loblolly pine wood and bark on the properties of pyrolysis biooils. Fuel Process Technol. 2017;167:43–9.
- 136. Wang Z, Burra KG, Zhang M, Li X, Policella M, Lei T, Gupta AK. Copyrolysis of waste tire and pine bark for syngas and char production. Fuel. 2020;274:117878.
- 137. Wang Z, Cáceres LA, Hossain MM, Abdallah SB, Ogbeide O, Yao Z, Renaud JB, Scott IM. The antioxidant and enzyme inhibitory activity of balsam fir (*Abies balsamea* (L) Mill.) bark solvent extracts and pyrolysis oil. Waste and Biomass Valorization. 2019;10(11):3295–306.
- Garrett MD, Bennett SC, Hardacre C, Patrick R, Sheldrake GN. New methods in biomass depolymerisation: catalytic hydrogenolysis of barks. RSC Adv. 2013;3(44):21552–7.
- McCallum CS, Strachan N, Bennett SC, Forsythe WG, Garrett MD, Hardacre C, Morgan K, Sheldrake GN. Catalytic depolymerisation of suberin rich biomass with precious metal catalysts. Green Chem. 2018;20(12):2702–5.
- Vangeel T, Renders T, Van Aelst K, Cooreman E, Van den Bosch S, Van den Bossche G, Koelewijn SF, Courtin CM, Sels BF. Reductive catalytic fractionation of black locust bark. Green Chem. 2019;21(21):5841–51.
- Kim KH, Tucker M, Nguyen Q. Conversion of bark-rich biomass mixture into fermentable sugar by two-stage dilute acid-catalyzed hydrolysis. Biores Technol. 2005;96(11):1249–55.
- Mateus MM, Guerreiro D, Ferreira O, Bordado JC, Galhano dos Santos R. Heuristic analysis of Eucalyptus globulus bark depolymerization via acid-liquefaction. Cellulose. 2017;24(2):659–68.
- Kumaniaev I, Navare K, Crespo Mendes N, Placet V, Van Acker K, Samec JSM. Conversion of birch bark to biofuels. Green Chem. 2020;22(7):2255–63.
- 144. Liu Q, Chmely SC, Abdoulmoumine N. Biomass treatment strategies for thermochemical conversion. Energy Fuels. 2017;31(4):3525–36.
- 145. Lesley JP, Peter EL, Marcia SP. Chemical constituents of black locust bark and their biocidal activity. Holzforschung. 1989;43(4):219–24.
- 146. Garlock RJ, Wong YS, Balan V, Dale BE. AFEX pretreatment and enzymatic conversion of black locust (*Robinia pseudoacacia* L.) to Soluble Sugars. BioEnergy Res. 2012;5(2):306–18.
- Figueiredo R, Cesarino I, Mazzafera P. Suberin as an extra barrier to grass digestibility: a closer look to sugarcane forage. Tropical Plant Biology. 2016;9(2):96–108.
- 148. Figueiredo R, Araújo P, Llerena JPP, Mazzafera P. Suberin and hemicellulose in sugarcane cell wall architecture and crop digestibility: a biotechnological perspective. Food Energy Security. 2019;8(3):e00163.
- 149. Pérez-Boada M, Prieto A, Prinsen P, Forquin-Gomez M-P, del Río JC, Gutiérrez A, Martínez ÁT, Faulds CB. Enzymatic degradation of Elephant grass (*Pennisetum purpureum*) stems: Influence of the pith and bark in the total hydrolysis. Biores Technol. 2014;167:469–75.
- Liang S, McDonald AG. Anaerobic digestion of pre-fermented potato peel wastes for methane production. Waste Manage. 2015;46:197–200.
- 151. Moncada J, Cardona CA, Higuita JC, Vélez JJ, López-Suarez FE. Wood residue (Pinus patula bark) as an alternative feedstock for producing ethanol and furfural in Colombia: experimental, techno-economic and environmental assessments. Chem Eng Sci. 2016;140:309–18.
- Li C, Aston JE, Lacey JA, Thompson VS, Thompson DN. Impact of feedstock quality and variation on biochemical and thermochemical conversion. Renew Sustain Energy Rev. 2016;65:525–36.
- 153. Rasi S, Kilpeläinen P, Rasa K, Korpinen R, Raitanen J-E, Vainio M, Kitunen V, Pulkkinen H, Jyske T. Cascade processing of softwood bark with hot water extraction, pyrolysis and anaerobic digestion. Biores Technol. 2019;292:121893.
- 154. Williams CL, Emerson RM, Hernandez S, Klinger JL, Fillerup EP, Thomas BJ. Preprocessing and hybrid biochemical/thermochemical conversion of short rotation woody coppice for biofuels. Front Energy Res. 2018. https://doi.org/10.3389/fenrg.2018.00074.
- Cohen H, Szymanski J, Aharoni A. Assimilation of 'omics' strategies to study the cuticle layer and suberin lamellae in plants. J Exp Bot. 2017;68(19):5389–400.
- Kalluri UC, Yang X, Wullschleger SD. Plant biosystems design for a carbon-neutral bioeconomy. BioDesign Res. 2020;2020:7914051.

- Wang T, Phyo P, Hong M. Multidimensional solid-state NMR spectroscopy of plant cell walls. Solid State Nucl Magn Reson. 2016;78:56–63.
- Zhao W, Fernando LD, Kirui A, Deligey F, Wang T. Solid-state NMR of plant and fungal cell walls: a critical review. Solid State Nucl Magn Reson. 2020;107:101660.
- 159. Mansfield SD, Kim H, Lu F, Ralph J. Whole plant cell wall characterization using solution-state 2D NMR. Nat Protocols. 2012;7(9):1579–89.
- Wang W, Tian S, Stark RE. Isolation and identification of triglycerides and ester oligomers from partial degradation of potato Suberin. J Agric Food Chem. 2010;58(2):1040–5.
- Decker SR, Harman-Ware AE, Happs RM, Wolfrum EJ, Tuskan GA, Kainer D, Oguntimein GB, Rodriguez M, Weighill D, Jones P, et al. High throughput screening technologies in biomass characterization. Front Energy Res. 2018. https://doi.org/10.3389/fenrg.2018.00120.
- Delude C, Vishwanath SJ, Rowland O, Domergue F. Root aliphatic suberin analysis using non-extraction or solvent-extraction methods. Bio-protocol. 2017;7(12):e2331.
- Elle O, Richter R, Vohland M, Weigelt A. Fine root lignin content is well predictable with near-infrared spectroscopy. Sci Rep-Uk. 2019;9(1):6396.
- Payne CE, Wolfrum EJ. Rapid analysis of composition and reactivity in cellulosic biomass feedstocks with near-infrared spectroscopy. Biotechnol Biofuels. 2015;8(1):43.
- Armas-Herrera CM, Dignac MF, Rumpel C, Arbelo CD, Chabbi A. Management effects on composition and dynamics of cutin and suberin in topsoil under agricultural use. Eur J Soil Sci. 2016;67(4):360–73.
- Perra B, Haluk JP, Metche M. IR, 1H and 13C NMR Spectroscopic Studies of Suberin from Beech Barks (*Fagus sylvatica* L.). Holzforschung. 1995;49:99–103.
- Serra O, Chatterjee S, Huang W, Stark RE. Mini-review: What nuclear magnetic resonance can tell us about protective tissues. Plant Sci. 2012;195:120–4.
- Graça J, Pereira H. Cork Suberin: a Glyceryl Based Polyester. Holzforschung. 1997;51(3):225–34.
- Bernards MA, Razem FA. The poly(phenolic) domain of potato suberin: a non-lignin cell wall bio-polymer. Phytochemistry. 2001;57(7):1115–22.
- Bernards MA, Lewis NG. The macromolecular aromatic domain in suberized tissue: a changing paradigm. Phytochemistry. 1998;47(6):915–33.
- Mattinen M-L, Filpponen I, Järvinen P, Li B, Kallio H, Lehtinen P, Argyropoulos D. Structure of the polyphenolic component of suberin isolated from potato (*Solanum tuberosum* var. Nikola). J Agric Food Chem. 2009;57(20):9747–53.
- 172. Marques AV, Rencoret J, Gutiérrez A, del Río JC, Pereira H. Ferulates and lignin structural composition in cork. Holzforschung. 2016;70(4):275–89.
- 173. Graça J. Hydroxycinnamates in suberin formation. Phytochem Rev. 2010;9(1):85–91.
- 174. Neto CP, Rocha J, Gil A, Cordeiro N, Esculcas AP, Rocha S, Delgadillo I, De Jesus JDP, Correia AJF. 13C solid-state nuclear magnetic resonance and Fourier transform infrared studies of the thermal decomposition of cork. Solid State Nucl Magn Reson. 1995;4(3):143–51.
- Mahmood K, Zeisler-Diehl VV, Schreiber L, Bi Y-M, Rothstein SJ, Ranathunge K. Overexpression of ANAC046 Promotes Suberin Biosynthesis in Roots of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Int J Mol Sci. 2019;20(24):6117.
- Yadav V, Molina I, Ranathunge K, Castillo IQ, Rothstein SJ, Reed JW. ABCG transporters are required for suberin and pollen wall extracellular barriers in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell. 2014;26(9):3569.
- 177. Verdaguer R, Soler M, Serra O, Garrote A, Fernández S, Company-Arumí D, Anticó E, Molinas M, Figueras M. Silencing of the potato StNAC103 gene enhances the accumulation of suberin polyester and associated wax in tuber skin. J Exp Bot. 2016;67(18):5415–27.
- 178. Capote T, Barbosa P, Usié A, Ramos AM, Inácio V, Ordás R, Gonçalves S, Morais-Cecílio L. ChIP-Seq reveals that QsMYB1 directly targets genes involved in lignin and suberin biosynthesis pathways in cork oak (Quercus suber). BMC Plant Biol. 2018;18(1):198.
- 179. Panikashvili D, Shi JX, Bocobza S, Franke RB, Schreiber L, Aharoni A. The Arabidopsis DSO/ABCG11 transporter affects cutin metabolism in reproductive organs and suberin in roots. Mol Plant. 2010;3(3):563–75.
- Wei X, Lu W, Mao L, Han X, Wei X, Zhao X, Xia M, Xu C. ABF2 and MYB transcription factors regulate feruloyl transferase FHT involved in ABAmediated wound suberization of kiwifruit. J Exp Bot. 2019;71(1):305–17.

- Lee SB, Suh M-C. Disruption of glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored lipid transfer protein 15 affects seed coat permeability in Arabidopsis. Plant J. 2018;96(6):1206–17.
- To A, Joubès J, Thueux J, Kazaz S, Lepiniec L, Baud S. AtMYB92 enhances fatty acid synthesis and suberin deposition in leaves of Nicotiana benthamiana. Plant J. 2020;103(2):660–76.
- Pfister A, Barberon M, Alassimone J, Kalmbach L, Lee Y, Vermeer JE, Yamazaki M, Li G, Maurel C, Takano J, et al. A receptor-like kinase mutant

with absent endodermal diffusion barrier displays selective nutrient homeostasis defects. Elife. 2014;3:e03115.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

